Addressing Hearing Loss: Biocement's Impact on Ossicular Chain Reconstruction in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A narrative review

Mamazhanova Syrga Alimbekovna[1],Nasyrov Vadim Aliyarovich[2],Nurkeev Nurgazy Baktybekovich[3],Mohd Faizan Siddiqui[4]

[1]*Department of Otolaryngology, I.K.Akhunbaev Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, Akhunbaeva street 92, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, 720020,*
E-mail: mamazhanovasyrga@gmail.com,ORCID: 0009-0000-2153-8617

[2]*Department of Otolaryngology, I.K.Akhunbaev Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, Akhunbaeva street 92, Bishkek,Kyrgyzstan, 720020,*
E-mail: vnasyrov47@mail.ru,ORCID: 0009-0006-0580-5256

[3]*Department of Otolaryngology, I.K.Akhunbaev Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, Akhunbaeva street 92, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, 720020*
E-mail: bc_nurkeev@mail.ru,ORCID: 0000-0001-5545-8770

[4]*International Medical Faculty, Osh State University, Osh City, Kyrgyzstan, 723510*
E-mail: Fzn.imf@gmail.com,ORCID: 0000-0001-6634-076X

KEYWORDS
Auditory Ossicular Chain, Biocement, Ossiculoplasty, Conductive Hearing Loss, Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs)

ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: The auditory ossicular chain is essential for sound transmission, and injury may cause conductive hearing loss. Recent advances in ossiculoplasty have shown biocement's promise as a bioactive material for repairing the ossicular chain. The purpose of this literature review is to assess the effectiveness, obstacles, and inequities associated with employing biocement for ossicular restoration. To evaluate current studies on biocement in ossiculoplasty, with an emphasis on its benefits, limits, and significance in low- and middle-income countries.

Methods: A comprehensive review of relevant English-language articles on the auditory ossicular chain was conducted by searching PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Medline databases. The following keywords were used: auditory ossicular chain**,** ossiculoplasty**,** biocement**,** conductive hearing loss**,** and middle ear reconstruction. The search was limited to studies published within the last two decades, focusing on both clinical trials and experimental research.

Results: Comparatively to conventional materials, biocement shows great promise in enhancing hearing results and encouraging ossicular regeneration. Long-term durability and infection risk still cause questions, however. Different availability to biocement in LMICs reduces the advantages for people suffering with conductive hearing loss.

Conclusion: Although the application of biocement in ossicular chain repair shows a great development in otology, further study is required to handle long-term safety and accessibility problems in LMICs. Global health equality depends on means of increasing the availability of biocement in environments with limited resources.

1. Introduction

From the external ear to the inner ear, sound is transmitted by the auditory ossicular chain—which consists of the malleus, incus, and stapes. Acting as a mechanical lever, this little mechanism amplifies and directs sound vibrations to the cochlea, where they are transformed into brain impulses. Whether from genetic flaws, severe traumas, or infections like otitis media, damage to this complex system may cause conductive hearing loss, therefore greatly diminishing a person's hearing capacity. This disability not only affects communication but also has wider consequences for social interaction, education, and general quality of life, thereby stressing the need of good treatment plans (Henseler et al., 2014).

For decades, ossiculoplasty—the surgical correction of the ossicular chain—has been used to treat the consequent conductive hearing loss. Although autologous grafts or prosthetic materials are used in conventional treatments, these approaches might be connected with different success rates and problems. Biocement, a bioactive substance distinguished by its capacity to connect with bone and foster ossicular regeneration, has attracted interest recently for its use in middle ear reconstruction. The characteristics of biocement not only strengthen the ossicular chain's stability but also help the ossicular chain to mend naturally, thereby maybe improving the auditory results (Linder et al., 2009).

The aim of this literature review is to evaluate the present corpus of studies on the biocement-based repair of the auditory ossicular chain. Emphasizing the growing body of data supporting its clinical usage, it will discuss the benefits, constraints, and possibility for enhancing surgical results. With an emphasis on studies over the previous two decades, mostly from Europe and North America where biocement has been more extensively used in clinical practice, this study also covers the differences in Low- and Middle- Income Countries' (LMICs') access to innovative materials like biocement. Although biocement has possible advantages, its implementation in environments with low resources is hampered by elements like high prices, lack of surgical experience, and restricted availability. Developing plans to better access efficient hearing restoration solutions for impacted communities depends on an awareness of these differences.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Search Strategy

A systematic literature review was conducted using electronic databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Medline. The search strategy used a combination of keywords, including auditory ossicular chain, ossiculoplasty, conductive hearing loss, middle ear reconstruction, biocement, autologous grafts, prosthetic materials, and ossicular chain restoration (Table 1). The study aimed to identify articles assessing the effectiveness of biocement in ossicular chain reconstruction, published from January 2004 to December 2024. Only relevant articles or abstracts published in English were selected, and filters are displayed in Table 2. Articles and data for quantitative analysis were independently extracted and evaluated by two co-authors from the included trials. In the event of any disagreement, a third reviewer was consulted, and the matter was resolved through discussion.

Search Strategy	
P (Population)	"auditory ossicular chain," "conductive hearing loss," "middle ear damage"
I (Intervention)	"biocement," "ossiculoplasty," "middle ear reconstruction," "ossicular chain restoration"
C (Comparison)	"conventional materials," "autologous grafts," "prosthetic materials," "traditional ossiculoplasty"
O (Outcome)	"hearing outcomes," "ossicular regeneration," "long-term safety," "global health equity"

Table 1: This table is designed to guide a structured and comprehensive search, ensuring all aspects of the PICO framework are effectively addressed.

2.2 Study Selection Criteria

Studies on the therapeutic effect of bone cement in patients undergoing ossicular chain reconstruction or revision stapes surgery were selected. Only records reporting original study data were included. Systematic reviews, opinion papers, animal studies, and case reports (< 10 cases) were excluded (see Figure 1 for selection criteria). Records written in languages other than English, were excluded. Related publications that were not identified by the initial search were searched in PubMed, Scopus, and the Web of Science. Selected articles, related reviews, meta-analyses, and guidelines were hand searched for relevant cross-references. The study excluded investigations that did not follow these quantitative standards, duplicated studies, residency training studies, animal trials, and those classified as comments, editorials, or reviews.

3. Understanding Conductive Hearing Loss: Pathophysiology and Implications

Crucially important for the auditory system, the auditory ossicular chain acts as the mechanical connection between the tympanic membrane (eardrum) and the inner ear. Comprising the malleus, incus, and stapes, this chain is crucial in amplifying sound waves and enabling the cochlea's acoustic signal transmission. Appreciating the possible advantages and constraints of biocement in ossiculoplasty depends on an awareness of the underlying theories and ideas guiding its use (Watson & Narayan, 2014).

3.1 Mechanics of Sound Transmission

The ideas of impedance matching and mechanical amplification in particular help to root the mechanics of sound transmission throughout the auditory ossicular chain in physics. Sound waves entering the ear canal vibrate the tympanic membrane, which subsequently passes on to the ossicles. By transferring these vibrations from the greater surface area of the tympanic membrane to the smaller area of the oval window, the ossicular chain increases these vibrations and facilitates effective energy transmission into the fluid-filled inner ear. Any disturbance in this chain brought on by illness, trauma, or congenital defects may greatly reduce hearing and cause conductive hearing loss (Carnevale et al., 2023).

3.2 Pathophysiology of Conductive Hearing Loss

Interference in the way sound waves pass through the inner and outer ear causes conductive hearing loss. Trauma may dislocate or fracture the ossicles; chronic otitis media, for example, can produce fluid collection and consequent ossicular erosion. Furthermore causing poor sound transmission are congenital deformities include deformed ossicles or ossicular discontinuity. Knowing the particular causes and processes of conductive hearing loss guides the ossiculoplasty surgical technique (Dornhoffer, 2003).

3.3 Surgical Techniques and Materials in Ossiculoplasty

Ossiculoplasty has historically used synthetic materials or autologous tissue grafts to rebuild injured ossicles. Taken from the patient's own tissues, autologous transplants reduce the chance of rejection; nevertheless, they may also provide difficulties like extra surgical sites and variable tissue quality. Although synthetic materials—including titanium and hydroxyapatite—have also been used—they may be linked with problems including foreign body rejection, infection, and insufficient integration with adjacent bone. These difficulties draw attention to the need of better materials encouraging more healing and integration (Gungor et al., 2016).

4. Historical Perspective : Early surgical techniques and emergenve of synthetic prostheses

Over the last century, ossicular chain repair has evolved significantly in reflection of developments in surgical methods and otological materials. Appreciating the present scene of ossicular reconstruction and the development of biocement as a potential solution depends on an awareness of the historical background. Ossicular chain restoration first emerged in the early 20th century when the main method used was autologous grafting. To repair or replace injured ossicles, surgeons used tissue taken from the patient—including cartilage from the ear canal or bone from the skull. This approach sought to minimize foreign body responses and restore sound transmission. Still, these grafts eventually proved to have some limits. Autologous materials were prone to resorption, displacement, and variable in tissue quality, therefore producing varying surgical results and consequences including recurrence of hearing loss (Cywka et al., 2022).

In response to the difficulties with autologous grafts, synthetic prosthesis meant to more successfully rebuild the ossicular chain emerged in the middle of the 20th century. Although stainless steel and polyethylene were early prosthetic materials, their limited success resulted from problems with biocompatibility and integration with native bone. The 1990s saw a turning point in ossicular reconstruction when titanium first became used. Because of its amazing strength, endurance, and biocompatibility—which let titanium resist the mechanical pressures in the middle ear—it became very popular. Nevertheless, titanium is an inert substance that does not encourage biological integration with the surrounding bone tissue even with its benefits. Because the prosthesis may not be stable over time, this lack of integration might cause problems like displacement, loosening, and even reoperation. These difficulties highlighted the requirement of materials capable of not just mechanical support but also encouraging a biological reaction fit for healing (D et al., 2011).

5. Introduction of Biocement: A transformative shift

With the introduction of biocement as a bioactive substitute for conventional synthetic prosthesis, ossicular chain repair underwent a radical change in early 2000s. Designed to chemically bind with real bone tissue, biocement—mostly consisting of calcium phosphate—was meant to address some of the main constraints on titanium and other synthetic materials. First research on animal models showed that biocement not only bonded tightly to bone but also encouraged ossicular regeneration by helping osteoblasts develop and thus enabling the creation of fresh bone. This innovative study attracted attention on the use of biocement for human patients, which resulted in clinical studies investigating its safety and effectiveness in middle ear operations. Early clinical results showed that biocement could lower difficulties related with conventional materials and increase rates of healing of hearing (D et al., 2011) . Consequently, biocement started to become popular in the otolaryngology field, which helped to open the path for its more general acceptance in clinical practice.

The historical development of ossicular chain repair demonstrates the interaction of technical developments with therapeutic demands. From autologous grafts to synthetic materials and finally to biocement, the change shows a significant direction toward bettering surgical results and patient quality of life. Appreciating the relevance of biocement as a contemporary otological remedy depends on an awareness of this trend. Furthermore, the continuous research on the features of biocement—such as its osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties—highlights its possibility to solve not only the mechanical problems of ossicular reconstruction but also the biological issues that have traditionally limited the success of conventional techniques. Research keeps clarifying the processes behind the efficacy of biocement, thus it has great potential to advance the field of auditory reconstruction and maybe increase access to efficient treatments in different healthcare environments, including those with different resource availability (C et al., 2019).

5.1 Properties of Biocement

Primarily made of calcium phosphate, biocement offers a good substitute for conventional ossiculoplasty materials. Its bioactive characteristics enable it to chemically link with bone tissue, thereby encouraging ossicular regeneration and lowering the hazards related with foreign materials. Biocement's osteoconductive and osteoinductive qualities provide the theoretical basis for its use in ossicular chain restoration. Osteoconductivity is the capacity of a substance to enable the attachment and expansion of bone cells, therefore promoting the natural healing mechanism. Whereas osteoinductivity describes a material's capacity to induce the differentiation of progenitor cells into bone-forming cells, biocement offers a scaffold that promotes the migration of osteoblasts, hence generating new bone surrounding the implanted material. By releasing bioactive ions that support this process, biocement may help to regenerate injured ossicular bones. These characteristics make biocement a perfect material for ossicular chain rebuilding, thus perhaps circumventing many constraints related with conventional materials (Tp, 2000).

5.2 Biological Integration and Healing Mechanisms

The effective incorporation of biocement into the biological environment of the middle ear is essential for the rehabilitation of the auditory ossicular chain. Research indicates that biocement not only offers mechanical support but also actively engages in the healing process by encouraging angiogenesis and aiding in the supply of growth factors to adjacent tissues. The interaction between biocement and host tissue may substantially affect surgical results, since successful integration enhances the durability and functioning of the repaired ossicular chain. Theoretical notions about the auditory ossicular chain, processes of conductive hearing loss, and developments in surgical materials highlight the significance of biocement in modern ossiculoplasty. Surgeons may improve the effectiveness of ossicular restoration by using the distinctive characteristics of biocement, therefore overcoming the limitations of conventional methods (Mantsopoulos et al., 2021).

6. Critical Insights into Biocement's Role in Auditory Reconstruction: Contentions and Challenges in Biocement usage

Notwithstanding its advantageous properties and novel uses in ossicular chain repair, biocement continues to be a topic of contention within the medical community. Numerous apprehensions about its sustained performance, infection risk, and relative effectiveness compared to conventional materials underscore the intricacies involved in its therapeutic use (Tan et al., 2019).

6.1 Long-Term Durability of Biocement

The long-term durability of biocement relative to more established materials like titanium is one of the most important issues emphasizing concerns. Though biocement has osteointegrative characteristics that improve its link with normal bone and encourage regeneration, some scientists anticipate if these advantages exceed any possible drawbacks. For example, the chemical characteristics and composition of biocement might make it more vulnerable to breakdown under physiological circumstances, particularly in situations involving significant ossicular injury or demanding middle ear settings.Although biocement may help to promote first healing, researchers contend that its mechanical stability over time and lifetime remain unknown (Alam et al., 2021). This has spurred debates calling for a selective use of biocement, saving it for certain therapeutic situations when its regenerating properties may be most fully used. On the other hand, titanium, which is renowned for its durability and strength, is still preferred in cases where mechanical stability is of great importance. These conversations highlight the need of customized treatment plans depending on the state of the particular patient and the degree of ossicular injury (Baglam et al., 2009).

6.2 Risk of Postoperative Infections

Another challenging issue is the risk of postoperative infections related with the use of biocement. Some research have expressed concerns regarding biocement's vulnerability to biofilm development, which occurs when bacteria cling to surfaces and build protective layers, making illnesses more difficult to cure. This effect is especially critical in chronic otitis media, when the middle ear environment might promote bacterial colonization. While some researches indicate that biocement causes more chronic infections because to increased biofilm production, others find no significant difference in infection rates when compared to standard prosthetic materials. This divergence in results has fuelled controversy, underlining the need of established techniques for determining infection risk associated with biocement. Furthermore, the variety in research designs, patient groups, and follow-up lengths complicates the interpretation of available data, emphasizing the need of more thorough and long-term investigations to reach conclusive results (Ozer et al., 2002).

6.3 Evolving Perspectives on Material Selection

Biocement vs standard materials arguments mirror surgical material selection discussions. Understanding when biocement may be better than normal bone development is becoming more important as doctors assess the dangers of material breakdown and infection. The patient's health, pathology, and ossicular chain mechanical needs are considered. Biocement raises issues regarding otology surgery's future. As biocement technology progresses, improved formulations or combination ingredients may improve durability and biocompatibility. Innovations might change arguments by proving biocement's usefulness in numerous clinical settings. These discussions underscore the pressing need for more long-term research to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of biocement in ossicular chain restoration (Kubilay et al., 2007). Randomized controlled trials and extensive cohort studies are crucial to clarify the long-term efficacy of biocement relative to conventional materials, assess the occurrence of problems such as infections, and determine optimal practices for its use in clinical environments. By resolving these issues, forthcoming research might provide significant insights that inform therapeutic decision-making, enhance patient outcomes, and guarantee the complete realization of the advantages of biocement in ossicular repair. Recent advancements in biomaterials research have concentrated on enhancing the characteristics of biocement to improve its osteointegrative capacities and reduce related problems. The medical world is investigating the possibility of biocement in ossicular chain repair, leading to numerous creative developments that may transform surgical techniques and patient outcomes (Edizer et al., 2016).

6.4 Enhanced Biocement Formulations

A significant trend is the development of novel biocement formulations that include antibacterial agents. These formulations seek to reduce the incidence of postoperative infections, a significant issue in middle ear procedures where bacterial colonization may result in chronic problems. Researchers want to include antimicrobial qualities into biocement to develop a material that successfully adheres to bone tissue while simultaneously preventing bacteria proliferation (Siddiqui et al., 2023). This dual action is especially vital for those at increased risk of infection owing to preexisting disorders or prior ear operations. Numerous studies have shown that biocement formulations using silver nanoparticles, zinc oxide, or other antimicrobial agents may markedly diminish bacterial adhesion and biofilm development. The incorporation of these compounds does not diminish the mechanical strength or bioactivity of the biocement, making it a viable choice for doctors aiming to improve the safety of ossicular chain restoration (G et al., 2023).

6.5 3D Printing Technology in Personalized Implants

A notable development is the use of 3D printing technology to fabricate customized ossicular implants using biocement. This breakthrough enables the production of implants customized to the distinct anatomical features of individual patients, hence enhancing the accuracy and efficacy of ossicular repair. 3D printing allows surgeons to create implants that replicate the natural shapes and sizes of the ossicular chain, improving mechanical compatibility and functional results (Schmidt et al., 2013). The procedure starts with sophisticated imaging modalities, such as CT or MRI scans, to get accurate anatomical information on the patient's middle ear. This data is used to construct a digital model, which functions as the blueprint for the 3D-printed biocement implant. The capacity to personalize implants has several benefits, such as decreased surgical duration, less stress to adjacent tissues, and enhanced integration with pre-existing bone structures. Additionally, 3D-printed biocement implants may be engineered to include porosity or certain surface characteristics that facilitate vascularization and osseointegration. This further augments the osteointegrative characteristics of the material, promoting a more resilient and durable restoration of the auditory ossicular chain (Bedri & Redleaf, 2018).

6.6 Integration of Smart Materials and Regenerative Medicine

The use of smart components in biocement formulations is also gaining popularity. These materials may react dynamically to changes in their surroundings, such as pH, temperature, or the presence of certain biomolecules. Biocements, for example, developed to release growth factors in response to mechanical stress or particular biological signals have the potential to speed healing and bone regeneration. This method reflects a trend toward more interactive biomaterials that not only perform structural functions but also actively engage in the biological healing process (Koro & Werner, 2019). Furthermore, the interaction of regenerative medicine and biocement research is developing as a key topic. Research investigating the utilization of stem cells in combination with biocement aims to improve the material's regenerating capacity. Researchers want to improve the environment for tissue regeneration and repair by incorporating stem cells or growth factors into biocement. This combo treatment may result in better functional results for individuals having ossicular chain restoration (Baylancicek et al., 2014).

7. Disparities and Future Research Directions Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs)

In Low- and Middle- Income Countries (LMICs), where access to sophisticated surgical materials and technology is typically restricted, the repair of the ossicular chain using biocement is a very significant subject. In many LMICs, especially in areas with inadequate healthcare infrastructure, conductive hearing loss brought on by chronic otitis media (COM) and other middle ear disorders presents a major public health concern. Poor living circumstances, restricted access to clean water, and insufficient healthcare services aggravate infections causing middle ear diseases seen in these environments (Babu & Seidman, 2004). Apart from great rates of hearing loss, this circumstance influences scholastic and financial possibilities for impacted people, therefore influencing their chances to break the cycle of poverty. Although ossiculoplasty, a surgical treatment that may significantly restore hearing, is readily available, the cost and availability of materials like titanium and biocement are major obstacles to general acceptance in environments lacking resources. Moreover, in LMICs, patients and healthcare professionals may lack knowledge about surgical possibilities, which causes delays in treatment seeking. Improving the hearing health in these groups depends on tackling these problems (Ja & A, 2005).

7.1 Disparities in Access to Surgical Materials

Due mostly to pricing restrictions and supply chain problems, LMICs have one of their main difficulties in access to sophisticated biomaterials like biocement. Though it shows promise for better surgical results, biocement is sometimes seen as a costly substitute for more conventional techniques. Many LMICs already have limited resources for healthcare, and spending on sophisticated surgical materials may not be a top concern given more pressing medical requirements (F et al., 2014). As such, conventional ossiculoplasty methods using lower-cost synthetic materials or autologous grafts remain somewhat common. Although these techniques could be less expensive, compared to more modern materials like biocement they are often linked with greater rates of surgical failure and morbidity. Apart from expense, the scarcity of qualified surgeons skilled in biocement use aggravates these differences even further. Many LMIC healthcare professionals may not have obtained enough training in the most recent surgical procedures or the use of creative materials, therefore depending on antiquated methods that might not provide best outcomes. Furthermore complicating its availability even with money granted are logistical issues like the importing of biocement and the necessity of appropriate storage conditions (Celik et al., 2009).

7.2 Impact on Global Health Equity

Global health equity is substantially affected by the disparities in biocement and other advanced surgical materials availability. In low- and medium-income countries (LMICs), hearing loss—especially conductive hearing loss brought on by middle ear diseases—significantly adds to disability. It drastically affects people's abilities to communicate, work, and engage in social events, therefore reducing their quality of life and limiting their economic possibilities. Furthermore, untreated hearing loss might affect academic performance, particularly in young children as effective social interaction and learning depend on good communication. Using biocement in ossicular restoration may reduce the prevalence of hearing loss in these regions, therefore improving the quality of life for millions of people (Wegner et al., 2015). The remarkable osteointegrative properties of biocement might provide better surgical outcomes, thus improving auditory performance and enabling more society integration. Still, for many patients in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), the benefits of biocement would remain unachievable without addressing the basic obstacles of cost, accessibility, and surgical expertise. Activities must be carried out to close these gaps in order to forward the development of affordable biocement formulations, support training initiatives for medical personnel, and create alliances to improve the supply chain for important surgical materials. These projects would improve otological discipline in low- and middle-income nations and help to create a more fair world for global health (Sprinzl & Wolf-Magele, 2016).

8. Conclusion

With biocement, the restoration of the auditory ossicular chain marks a revolutionary development in otology and presents a viable bioactive, biocompatible alternative for middle ear reconstruction. This innovative method tackles the important problem of conductive hearing loss brought on by trauma, congenital abnormalities, or persistent otitis media. Biocement not only promotes ossicular regeneration but also increases patient's chances for better hearing results. Still, despite the positive findings of many studies, constant discussions over the long-term viability of biocement and its sensitivity to postoperative infections highlight the need of further study. Comprehensive long-term research are necessary to study the questions of the durability of biocement over time and its interaction with surrounding biological tissues completely. Standardized procedures for the use of biocement in clinical practice and the validity of this material in different patient groups depend on such research. Moreover, the differences in access to sophisticated surgical treatment and biocement in Low- and Middle- Income Countries (LMICs) draw attention to a crucial area of issue in world health justice. Dealing with these disparities will help to guarantee that any patient, from wherever or from any financial level, may benefit from innovative medical discoveries. Efforts have to be made to investigate reasonably priced substitutes for biocement, streamline surgical material supply chains, and educate LMIC medical staff members. These programs will not only provide access to cutting-edge therapies but also enable local healthcare systems to provide first-rate surgical performance. Future ossicular restoration has great opportunities thanks to developing antibacterial formulations of biocement and the integration of 3D printing technologies. While antimicrobial compounds might reduce the danger of infection, hence improving patient outcomes, personalized implants produced by 3D printing could improve the accuracy of surgical operations. Accepting these ideas will be essential to maximize the advantages of biocement in healthcare environments as the field develops. Although the surgical otology has made great progress with the repair of the auditory ossicular chain using biocement, coordinated efforts are needed to solve current issues and inequalities. Future studies should concentrate not just on maximizing the therapeutic use of biocement but also on ensuring fair access to this transforming medicine for patients all throughout the globe. This helps us to open the path for better quality of life and auditory wellness for those with hearing loss.

Data Availability Statement: The data analyzed during this narrative review are derived from publicly available published studies and do not involve any original datasets. All sources referenced in this review are accessible through academic databases and journals.

Reference:

Alam, A., Khan, A., Imam, N., Siddiqui, M. F., Waseem, M., Malik, M. Z., & Ishrat, R. (2021). Design of an epitope-based peptide vaccine against the SARS-CoV-2: A vaccine-informatics approach. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *22*(2), 1309–1323. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa340

Babu, S., & Seidman, M. D. (2004). Ossicular reconstruction using bone cement. *Otology & Neurotology: Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology*, *25*(2), 98–101. https://doi.org/10.1097/00129492-200403000-00003

Baglam, T., Karatas, E., Durucu, C., Kilic, A., Ozer, E., Mumbuc, S., & Kanlikama, M. (2009). Incudostapedial rebridging ossiculoplasty with bone cement. *Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery: Official Journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, *141*(2), 243–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2009.03.025

Baylancicek, S., Iseri, M., Topdağ, D. Ö., Ustundag, E., Ozturk, M., Polat, S., & Uneri, C. (2014). Ossicular reconstruction for incus long-process defects: Bone cement or partial ossicular replacement prosthesis. *Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery: Official Journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, *151*(3), 468–472. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599814534621

Bedri, E.-H., & Redleaf, M. (2018). Ossicular Chain Reconstruction in a Developing Country. *The Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology*, *127*(5), 306–311. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489418760054

C, C., G, T.-P., Dj, A.-T., Md, T.-B., & Pl, S.-E. (2019). Hearing outcomes of the active bone conduction system Bonebridge® in conductive or mixed hearing loss. *Acta Otorrinolaringologica Espanola*, *70*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otorri.2018.02.006

Carnevale, C., Morales-Olavarría, C., Til-Pérez, G., & Sarría-Echegaray, P. (2023). Bonebridge® bone conduction implant. Hearing outcomes and quality of life in patients with conductive/mixed hearing loss. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology: Official Journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS): Affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, *280*(4), 1611–1619. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-022-07631-0

Celik, H., Aslan Felek, S., Islam, A., Demirci, M., Samim, E., & Oztuna, D. (2009). The impact of fixated glass ionomer cement and springy cortical bone incudostapedial joint reconstruction on hearing results. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, *129*(12), 1368–1373. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016480902725213

Cywka, K. B., Skarzynski, P. H., Krol, B., Hatzopoulos, S., & Skarzynski, H. (2022). Evaluation of the Bonebridge BCI 602 active bone conductive implant in adults: Efficacy and stability of audiological, surgical, and functional outcomes. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology: Official Journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS): Affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, *279*(7), 3525–3534. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-022-07265-2

D, B., C, H., T, B., S, L., M, B., O, S., & Ab, G. (2011). Functional results of Vibrant Soundbridge middle ear implants in conductive and mixed hearing losses. *Audiology & Neuro-Otology*, *16*(6). https://doi.org/10.1159/000322647

Dornhoffer, J. (2003). Cartilage tympanoplasty: Indications, techniques, and outcomes in a 1,000-patient series. *The Laryngoscope*, *113*(11), 1844–1856. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005537-200311000-00002

Edizer, D. T., Durna, Y. M., Hamit, B., Demirhan, H., & Yigit, O. (2016). Malleus to Stapes Bone Cement Rebridging Ossiculoplasty: Why Don't We Perform Frequently? *The Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology*, *125*(6), 445–451. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489415618678

F, I., L, V., J, B., C, M., & M, C. (2014). Preliminary functional results and quality of life after implantation of a new bone conduction hearing device in patients with conductive and mixed hearing loss. *Otology & Neurotology : Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology*, *35*(2). https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0000000000000208

G, S., J, T., A, K., N, B., T, K., T, R., Wd, B., C, H., A, M., S, P., G, G., J, S., P, Z., S, C., T, L., & R, S. (2023). Multicentric study on surgical information and early safety and performance results with the Bonebridge BCI 602: An active transcutaneous bone conduction hearing implant. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology : Official Journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : Affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, *280*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-022-07792-y

Gungor, V., Atay, G., Bajin, M. D., Yarali, M., Sarac, S., & Sennaroglu, L. (2016). Comparison of various bone cement ossiculoplasty techniques and functional results. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, *136*(9), 883–887. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016489.2016.1172255

Henseler, M. A., Polanski, J. F., Schlegel, C., & Linder, T. (2014). Active middle ear implants in patients undergoing subtotal petrosectomy: Long-term follow-up. *Otology & Neurotology: Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology*, *35*(3), 437–441. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0b013e31829e8391

Ja, G., & A, J. (2005). Use of Mimix hydroxyapatite bone cement for difficult ossicular reconstruction. *Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery : Official Journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, *132*(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2005.01.023

Koro, E., & Werner, M. (2019). Outcomes after Application of Active Bone Conducting Implants. *Audiology & Neuro-Otology*, *24*(4), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1159/000502052

Kubilay, U., Sezen, O. S., Ozkiriş, M., Haytoğlu, S., & Unver, S. (2007). Incudostapedial rebridging ossiculoplasty with glass ionomer cement: A case report. *Kulak Burun Bogaz Ihtisas Dergisi: KBB = Journal of Ear, Nose, and Throat*, *17*(3), 183–186.

Linder, T., Schlegel, C., DeMin, N., & van der Westhuizen, S. (2009). Active middle ear implants in patients undergoing subtotal petrosectomy: New application for the Vibrant Soundbridge device and its implication for lateral cranium base surgery. *Otology & Neurotology: Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology*, *30*(1), 41–47. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0b013e31818be812

Mantsopoulos, K., Thimsen, V., Wohlleben, F., Taha, L., Sievert, M., Müller, S. K., Goncalves, M., Iro, H., & Hornung, J. (2021). Hydroxyapatite bone cement in the reconstruction of defects of the long process of the incus: Personal experience and literature review. *American Journal of Otolaryngology*, *42*(4), 103002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2021.103002

Ozer, E., Bayazit, Y. A., Kanlikama, M., Mumbuc, S., & Ozen, Z. (2002). Incudostapedial rebridging ossiculoplasty with bone cement. *Otology & Neurotology: Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology*, *23*(5), 643–646. https://doi.org/10.1097/00129492-200209000-00006

Schmidt, V. B., da Costa, S. S., Rosito, L. P. S., Canali, I., & Selaimen, F. A. (2013). Myringostapediopexy: Is it a natural type III tympanoplasty? *Otology & Neurotology: Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology*, *34*(1), 79–82. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0b013e318271be31

Siddiqui, M. F., Alam, A., Kalmatov, R., Mouna, A., Villela, R., Mitalipova, A., Mrad, Y. N., Rahat, S. A. A., Magarde, B. K., Muhammad, W., Sherbaevna, S. R., Tashmatova, N., Islamovna, U. G., Abuassi, M. A., & Parween, Z. (2023). Leveraging Healthcare System with Nature-Inspired Computing Techniques: An Overview and Future Perspective. In K. Raza (Ed.), *Nature-Inspired Intelligent Computing Techniques in Bioinformatics* (Vol. 1066, pp. 19–42). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6379-7_2

Sprinzl, G. M., & Wolf-Magele, A. (2016). The Bonebridge Bone Conduction Hearing Implant: Indication criteria, surgery and a systematic review of the literature. *Clinical Otolaryngology: Official Journal of ENT-UK ; Official Journal of Netherlands Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology & Cervico-Facial Surgery*, *41*(2), 131–143. https://doi.org/10.1111/coa.12484

Tan, D., Abouzari, M., Goshtasbi, K., Dunn, B., & Djalilian, H. R. (2019). In-Office Ossicular Chain Reconstruction Using Hydroxyapatite Cement. *Clinical Otolaryngology : Official Journal of ENT-UK ; Official Journal of Netherlands Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology & Cervico-Facial Surgery*, *44*(6), 1228–1230. https://doi.org/10.1111/coa.13428

Tp, M. (2000). Hearing results in pediatric patients with chronic otitis media after ossicular reconstruction with partial ossicular replacement prostheses and total ossicular replacement prostheses. *The Laryngoscope*, *110*(4). https://doi.org/10.1097/00005537-200004000-00005

Watson, G. J., & Narayan, S. (2014). Bone cement: How effective is it at restoring hearing in isolated incudostapedial erosion? *The Journal of Laryngology and Otology*, *128*(8), 690–693. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215114001601

Wegner, I., van den Berg, J. W. G., Smit, A. L., & Grolman, W. (2015). Systematic review of the use of bone cement in ossicular chain reconstruction and revision stapes surgery. *The Laryngoscope*, *125*(1), 227–233. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.24897