

Empirical Reality versus Theoretical Framework: Street-Level Bureaucrats' Behavior in Driver's License Services at Pinrang Police Resort, Indonesia

Sri Nurindah Sari Arsyad, Badu Ahmad

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

KEYWORDS

Public service delivery,
Behavioral dynamics,
Working conditions,
Service quality.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the behavioral dynamics of street-level bureaucrats (SLBs) in the driver's license (SIM) service at the Pinrang Resort Police (Polres Pinrang) in Indonesia, juxtaposing empirical findings with Michael Lipsky's seminal theory of street-level bureaucracy. Employing a qualitative phenomenological methodology, the research seeks to elucidate the influence of working conditions on SLBs' behavioral patterns and the consequent impact on public service quality. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with SLBs and service recipients, non-participant observations, and document analysis, then subjected to iterative thematic analysis using interactive model. The findings reveal notable divergences from Lipsky's theoretical propositions. SLBs at Polres Pinrang encounter reduced ambiguity in policy objectives and performance evaluation, attributable to effective communication channels, comprehensive standard operating procedures, and a robust performance appraisal system. Despite resource limitations and escalating service demands, SLBs exhibit adaptability in delivering responsive services through access rationing strategies, task routinization, and collaborative partnerships with interdepartmental units and community stakeholders. Contrary to the nonvoluntary client interactions posited by Lipsky, SLB-SIM applicant engagements are characterized by enhanced humanization and responsiveness. Nevertheless, Lipsky's theoretical insights maintain relevance in the broader landscape of public service provision. The study underscores the criticality of striking a balance between procedural adherence and client-centric responsiveness to optimize service quality.

1. Introduction

Public service delivery constitutes a primary governmental responsibility in meeting societal needs. As society evolves, public expectations regarding service quality have intensified (Anttiroiko et al., 2014; Jackson, 2021; Salam, 2023; Qiang, 2024). Governments face increasing pressure to provide expeditious, transparent, accountable, and non-discriminatory services (Tommasi, 2023; Irfan et al., 2023; Mark, 2024). This has catalyzed the emergence of various regulations at global, national, and local levels aimed at enhancing public service quality.

In practice, public service delivery frequently interfaces directly with citizens through the role of street-level bureaucrats (Eriksson & Andersson, 2024; Eiró & Lotta, 2024; Lavee & Cohen, 2024). These frontline government employees interact directly with citizens in policy implementation and service provision. Street-level bureaucrats play a crucial role in translating existing policies into tangible actions aligned with field conditions and requirements (Sevä & Sandström, 2017; Dallara & Lacchei, 2021; Mützelburg, 2022; Andreotti et al., 2024). However, street-level bureaucrats often confront various challenges in executing their duties (Gofen & Lotta, 2021; Cohen & Aviram, 2021; Bell & Smith, 2022; Yu, 2024). Resource limitations, both in terms of personnel and infrastructure, represent a primary constraint. Concurrently, service demand continues to rise in tandem with population growth. This situation engenders a substantial workload for street-level bureaucrats, potentially impacting service quality.

This study focuses on the driver's license (SIM) service at the Pinrang City Police Resort. According to the Central Bureau of Statistics, Pinrang Regency's population has consistently increased year-on-year. However, the number of personnel in the SIM unit at the Pinrang Police Resort remains relatively limited. This disparity creates challenges for officers in delivering optimal service to the community. This phenomenon closely aligns with the concept of street-level bureaucracy proposed by Michael Lipsky (1980). Lipsky highlighted how working conditions can influence frontline bureaucrats' behavior in performing their duties. Resource constraints, ambiguous objectives, performance measurement difficulties, and interactions with involuntary clients may compel street-level bureaucrats to adopt specific practices as adaptations to their situational challenges.

In contrast to previous research that predominantly focused on regulatory and procedural aspects, this study pays particular attention to the perspective of street-level bureaucrats as key actors in service delivery. By thoroughly examining how working conditions influence their behavior, this research aims to provide novel insights into the dynamics of public service delivery at the grassroots level. The significance of this study lies not only in its contribution to knowledge development but also in its implications for improving public service quality. By understanding the factors influencing street-level bureaucrats' behavior, this research can provide valuable input for policymakers in designing strategies to address encountered challenges. The resulting recommendations are expected to form a basis for service system improvements, resource capacity enhancement, and strengthening of monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. Ultimately, this will contribute to the realization of more responsive, accountable, and citizen-satisfaction-oriented public services.

2. Methods

This study employs a qualitative approach with a phenomenological research design to explore the essential meaning of human experience through the collection and in-depth analysis of narratives or descriptions of experiences. A descriptive qualitative research design is utilized to provide a detailed depiction of how working conditions influence street-level bureaucracy behavior and its impact on driver's license (SIM) services at the Pinrang Police Resort. Informants in this study are individuals or frontline bureaucrats actively involved in SIM service delivery, selected using purposive sampling based on criteria relevant to addressing the research questions, as well as service users from the community.

Data sources in this study comprise primary data obtained directly through observation and in-depth interviews with informants, as well as secondary data derived from literature, documents, and related regulations. Data collection techniques include direct field observation, in-depth interviews with SIM service officers and service users using interview guidelines, and document analysis to examine theories, laws, and documents pertaining to public service delivery. Data analysis is conducted using the interactive analysis model of Miles and Huberman (2014), which consists of data collection, data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing.

The phenomenological approach allows for a nuanced exploration of the lived experiences of street-level bureaucrats, capturing the subjective meanings they attribute to their work conditions and interactions. This methodological choice aligns with the study's aim to uncover the complex dynamics of frontline service delivery from the perspective of those directly involved. The purposive sampling strategy ensures that informants possess the requisite knowledge and experience to provide rich, relevant data. This approach facilitates the selection of participants who can offer insights into the specific challenges and adaptations of street-level bureaucrats in the context of SIM service provision at the Pinrang Police Resort.

The combination of observational data, in-depth interviews, and document analysis allows for methodological triangulation, enhancing the credibility and comprehensiveness of the findings. Direct observation provides contextual understanding of the service environment, while in-depth interviews capture the nuanced perspectives of both service providers and users. Document analysis supplements these primary data sources with broader policy and regulatory context. The adoption of Miles and Huberman's interactive analysis model ensures a systematic and rigorous approach to data interpretation. This iterative process allows for the continual refinement of emerging themes and concepts, facilitating a deep understanding of the complex interplay between working conditions, bureaucratic behavior, and service outcomes. By employing this methodological framework, the study aims to generate robust, context-rich findings that contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical improvements in street-level bureaucracy and public service delivery.

3. Results and Discussion

Inadequate Resources

The availability of adequate human resources (HR) is a critical factor in ensuring the quality and efficacy of public service delivery. Sufficient HR not only determines an organization's capacity to meet societal needs but also influences the behavior and decision-making processes of frontline bureaucrats in executing their duties (Kras et al., 2017; Møller, 2021). When the number of personnel is commensurate with the workload, everyone can allocate greater attention to case details, tailor services according to specific needs, and ensure service provision in an efficient and humanistic manner.

However, conditions in the Driver's License (SIM) unit at Pinrang Police Resort indicate significant HR constraints. With only eight officers distributed across several service areas, they are tasked with handling over 100 SIM applicants daily. This disparity between staff numbers and work volume engenders a substantial workload for the personnel. They frequently find themselves working beyond standard hours and facing intense time pressures in serving each applicant, potentially impacting the quality of service rendered. Despite facing resource limitations, the officers in the SIM unit at Pinrang Police Resort strive to deliver optimal service. They exercise discretion in making decisions that align with real-world conditions, such as prioritizing certain requests or adjusting service procedures to mitigate lengthy queues. The officers also develop coping mechanisms, including leveraging technology, distributing tasks more efficiently, and collaborating with other units and the community. These approaches reflect their flexibility and adaptability in providing responsive services to community needs, aligning with Michael Lipsky's Street-Level Bureaucracy theory.

This scenario exemplifies the complex interplay between resource constraints and service delivery in street-level bureaucracies. The officers' adaptive strategies demonstrate the practical application of discretionary power in navigating resource limitations, a key tenet of Lipsky's theoretical framework. Their efforts to maintain service quality despite structural constraints highlight the critical role of frontline bureaucrats in shaping policy implementation and service outcomes. The situation at Pinrang Police Resort's SIM unit underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of resource allocation in public service delivery. While the officers' adaptive strategies mitigate some impacts of resource constraints, the underlying issue of inadequate staffing remains a significant challenge. This suggests that policymakers and organizational leaders should consider not only the quantity of resources allocated but also how to support and enhance the adaptive capacities of street-level bureaucrats operating under resource constraints.

The findings at Pinrang Police Resort align with previous studies highlighting the impact of resource constraints on service delivery in street-level bureaucracies. For example, Tummers et al. (2015) found that inadequate resources led to increased stress and coping behaviors among frontline workers, while Brodtkin (2011) noted that resource limitations often necessitate discretionary practices. However, the current study extends these findings by demonstrating how officers adapt and innovate to mitigate resource constraints, reflecting Lipsky's (2010) concept of "street-level divergence." Future research could explore the factors that enable or hinder such adaptive capacities in resource-constrained environments (Gofen, 2014; Thomann et al., 2018).

The escalation in demand

The escalation in demand for Driver's License (SIM) services, particularly towards the end of the year, presents significant challenges for officers at the Pinrang Police Resort. Consistent with Michael Lipsky's theory, this surge in demand generates high work pressure for street-level bureaucrats (SLBs) who must serve a larger population with limited resources. Officers face situations where they must make rapid decisions under suboptimal conditions to ensure all applicants are adequately served.

To address resource constraints and increased demand, officers at Pinrang Police Resort implement rationing and routinization strategies. They manage queues and prioritize applicants with the most pressing needs, such as those with urgent requirements or those who have been waiting excessively long. Officers also initiate services earlier and directly complete applicant forms to expedite the process. Additionally, they develop structured work routines where each officer focuses on completing their task before assisting colleagues, enhancing service efficiency.

However, this high work pressure and routinization also impact officer behavior. In extremely busy situations, they tend to exhibit favoritism or rely on stereotypes when serving applicants, potentially leading to inequities. Rigid routines also make officers less flexible and less sensitive to individual applicant needs, resulting in more formal and less cordial interactions. Furthermore, the pressure to meet service targets can cause officers to feel alienated from their primary job objective of serving the public effectively. This sense of alienation may diminish service quality as officers become less enthusiastic and work merely to complete tasks.

In this case study provides empirical evidence of how SLBs navigate the challenges of increased service demand and limited resources. It underscores the complex dynamics of street-level policy implementation and the potential unintended consequences of coping strategies. The findings suggest a need for organizational policies that not only address resource allocation but also support SLBs in maintaining service quality under pressure.

Future research could explore interventions to mitigate the negative impacts of high workload on SLB behavior and service outcomes, contributing to more effective and equitable public service delivery.

The challenges posed by escalating demand at Pinrang Police Resort resonate with prior research on the pressures faced by street-level bureaucrats. Tummers et al. (2015) and Kras et al. (2017) found that high workload can lead to coping behaviors like rationing services and relying on routines. The current study contributes by highlighting the potential unintended consequences of these strategies, such as favoritism and decreased responsiveness to individual needs. These findings underscore Lipsky's (2010) argument that coping mechanisms can undermine service quality and equity. Addressing these issues may require interventions at both the organizational and individual levels, such as workload management (Baviskar & Winter, 2017) and training in adaptive service provision (Zacka, 2017).

Policy Ambiguity

In his theory of Street-Level Bureaucracy, Michael Lipsky posits that the ambiguity of goals set by institutions or organizations constitutes a significant challenge faced by street-level bureaucrats (SLBs). According to Lipsky, organizational objectives that are often formulated in broad, abstract, or even conflicting terms can create confusion for SLBs in determining appropriate actions, leading to variations in policy implementation. However, findings from research conducted at the Pinrang Police Resort reveal contrasting results. Based on interviews with eight informants comprising employees and officers in the Driver's License (SIM) service unit, no ambiguity was found in the organization's goals or applicable regulations.

The informants stated that objectives, rules, and work targets have been clearly communicated by the organization, eliminating confusion in executing their duties. They possess a sound understanding of what is expected and the specific steps required to achieve institutional goals. The organization has also provided detailed guidelines regarding procedures and steps to be followed, leaving no room for divergent interpretations. Effective communication between management and officers, through regular meetings, roll calls, and briefings, ensures that all team members share the same understanding. This enables SLBs to work more focused and efficiently, without concerns about conflicts or inconsistencies in goal interpretation.

These findings highlight the importance of clear and transparent communication in the management of public institutions and organizations. When objectives and rules are clearly communicated and supported by concrete guidelines, SLBs can work more effectively and consistently, ultimately enhancing the quality of services provided to the public. The research results also indicate that in certain contexts, Lipsky's theory may not fully apply, especially when an institution has successfully created a supportive work environment with clear objectives and structured guidelines. As exemplified by Lipsky, in situations where resources are sufficient and the political culture is relatively homogeneous, goal ambiguity may not pose a significant problem.

The absence of policy ambiguity at Pinrang Police Resort contrasts with much of the literature on street-level bureaucracy, which emphasizes the prevalence and challenges of goal ambiguity (Lipsky, 2010; Tummers & Bekkers, 2014). However, some studies have found that clear policies and effective communication can mitigate ambiguity and improve SLB performance (Keiser, 2010; Thomann et al., 2018). The current findings support this perspective, suggesting that organizations can create conditions that reduce ambiguity through structured guidelines and regular communication. Future research could explore the organizational factors and practices that contribute to clarity and consistency in policy implementation (Møller, 2021).

Difficulties in Performance Measurement

Michael Lipsky posits that measuring the performance of street-level bureaucrats (SLBs) in achieving organizational goals is often challenging, if not nearly impossible. This difficulty arises from factors such as overly broad objectives, immeasurable workloads, and resource constraints faced by SLBs. According to Lipsky, SLBs frequently work under high-pressure conditions, with ambiguous institutional goals and high task complexity, making performance measurement a distinct challenge. Moreover, assessments of SLB performance success are often subjective, lacking objective standards to evaluate the effective implementation of policies or services in the field.

However, research findings from the Pinrang Police Resort demonstrate that performance measurement in the context of Driver's License (SIM) services is significantly more structured and transparent. Based on interviews

with eight informants, all stated that performance measurement is conducted in a transparent and quantifiable manner. Every employee involved in SIM services has clear performance standards. The Pinrang Police Resort implements a technology-based performance management system, namely the SMK (Performance Management System) website, which enables supervisors and colleagues to evaluate individual SLB performance based on established indicators. Additionally, the Pinrang Police Resort employs performance measurement methods through public satisfaction surveys, where citizens can provide direct assessments of the service quality they receive.

These findings indicate that with clear rules and procedures, a structured evaluation system, and the use of technology in performance measurement, this process becomes far more manageable and transparent at the Pinrang Police Resort. Each SLB clearly understands the standards they must achieve and can monitor their performance periodically through the existing system. Public involvement in assessing service quality also adds an accountability aspect to performance measurement, providing a more comprehensive picture of SLB performance, both in terms of quantity and quality of service. The research results demonstrate that the implementation of the performance management system at the Pinrang Police Resort operates effectively, diverging from the portrayal of performance measurement difficulties described in Lipsky's theory, while Lipsky's theory provides valuable insights into the challenges of street-level bureaucracy, the case of the Pinrang Police Resort demonstrates that some organizations have developed effective strategies to address these challenges. This underscores the need for ongoing research to refine and update our understanding of street-level bureaucracy in contemporary public service contexts.

The structured performance measurement system at Pinrang Police Resort diverges from the challenges typically associated with evaluating SLB performance (Lipsky, 2010). Previous studies have highlighted the difficulties of measuring complex, discretionary work (Hupe & Hill, 2007) and the potential for performance indicators to distort behavior (Brodin, 2011). However, the current findings suggest that technology-based systems and public feedback can enable more comprehensive and accountable performance assessment. These approaches align with recommendations from scholars like Møller (2021) and Zacka (2017) to develop multi-dimensional evaluation frameworks that capture both quantitative and qualitative aspects of SLB performance.

Nonvoluntary Clients

In his theory, Michael Lipsky posits that clients in public services typically do not come voluntarily, but rather are compelled by specific needs or obligations. Lipsky explains that these nonvoluntary clients are often viewed as obstacles or problems to be overcome by street-level bureaucrats (SLBs). This condition creates challenges in interactions, as nonvoluntary clients tend to feel trapped in a rigid system, are less cooperative, or even hostile. Consequently, the relationship between bureaucrats and clients is often tense, with bureaucrats tending to adopt a more formal and rigid approach to maintain control.

However, research at the Driver's License (SIM) service unit of Pinrang Police Resort reveals a different situation. Here, citizens come to the SIM service unit voluntarily, out of their own awareness of the need to possess a driver's license, whether for work reasons, legal compliance, or other personal needs. The SIM application process is participatory, where citizens take the initiative to come without coercion. Although there are challenges related to queues or service times, these complaints are more related to technical operational aspects rather than a sense of injustice or coercion from the system. The majority of applicants demonstrate cooperative attitudes and understand that they come due to their own needs. Interactions between officers and applicants are generally more humanistic and empathetic, with a more responsive and adaptive approach to client needs.

Although the findings at the SIM unit of Pinrang Police Resort do not fully align with Lipsky's theory, his views on the challenges faced by bureaucrats in serving nonvoluntary clients remain relevant in the context of public services in general. In more mandatory services, bureaucrats often face situations where clients feel burdened and unwilling to engage with the system. A rigid, procedure-focused bureaucratic approach can further alienate clients, affecting the quality of interactions and service outcomes. To address these challenges, bureaucrats need to be equipped with communication skills, conflict management strategies, and more flexible policies. With a balanced approach between procedural compliance and responsiveness to client needs, it is hoped that

bureaucrats can provide more equitable and human services while reducing dissatisfaction and tension in service interactions.

The voluntary nature of SIM services at Pinrang Police Resort contrasts with Lipsky's (2010) emphasis on nonvoluntary clients in public services. However, even in voluntary contexts, SLBs may face challenges related to client expectations and satisfaction (Tummers et al., 2015). The current study highlights the importance of responsive, adaptive approaches in managing these challenges and maintaining positive interactions. These findings resonate with recent work emphasizing the role of SLB communication and interpersonal skills in shaping service experiences (Bartels, 2013; Møller, 2021). Future research could explore how SLBs navigate the tensions between procedural compliance and responsiveness in different service contexts (Kras et al., 2017).

4. Conclusion

Research findings from the Pinrang Police Resort reveal distinct characteristics in the working conditions of street-level bureaucrats (SLBs) in Driver's License (SIM) service compared to Michael Lipsky's theory. Despite resource constraints and increased service demand, SLBs successfully mitigate challenges through access regulation strategies, routinization, and collaboration. Contrary to Lipsky's theory, policy ambiguity and performance measurement difficulties were not observed due to clear communication, detailed guidelines, and a structured evaluation system. Moreover, SLB-client interactions were more humane and responsive than Lipsky's depiction of nonvoluntary clients. While Lipsky's perspective remains relevant in broader public service contexts, this study emphasizes the importance of balancing procedural compliance with client responsiveness to enhance service quality. Based on these findings, several recommendations are proposed: prioritizing clear organizational communication, implementing structured performance evaluation systems, developing adaptive strategies for resource optimization, emphasizing client-centered approaches in SLB training, considering local contexts in policy design, integrating technology where feasible, and fostering continuous improvement through regular assessments. These recommendations aim to enhance public service delivery by addressing key aspects of SLB working conditions and organizational practices, ultimately contributing to improved service quality and client satisfaction across various public service contexts. Future research could also explore the long-term impacts of the strategies employed at Pinrang Police Resort on service quality and SLB job satisfaction, as well as investigate how these findings might apply to other types of public services with varying degrees of client voluntariness.

Reference

- [1] Andreotti, A., Coletto, D., & Rio, A. (2024). Street-level bureaucrats' discretion between individual and institutional factors: The analysis of the minimum income policy implementation in two Italian regions. *Social Policy & Administration*, 58(3), 368-384. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12964>
- [2] Anttiroiko, A. V., Valkama, P., & Bailey, S. J. (2014). Smart cities in the new service economy: building platforms for smart services. *AI & society*, 29, 323-334. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-013-0464-0>
- [3] Bartels, K. P. (2013). Public encounters: The history and future of face-to-face contact between public professionals and citizens. *Public Administration*, 91(2), 469-483. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2012.02101.x>
- [4] Baviskar, S., & Winter, S. C. (2017). Street-level bureaucrats as individual policymakers: The relationship between attitudes and coping behavior toward vulnerable children and youth. *International Public Management Journal*, 20(2), 316-353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2016.1235641>
- [5] Bell, E., & Smith, K. (2022). Working within a system of administrative burden: How street-level bureaucrats' role perceptions shape access to the promise of higher education. *Administration & Society*, 54(2), 167-211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00953997211027535>
- [6] Brodtkin, E. Z. (2011). Policy work: Street-level organizations under new managerialism. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(suppl_2), i253-i277. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muq093>
- [7] Cohen, N., & Aviram, N. F. (2021). Street-level bureaucrats and policy entrepreneurship: When implementers challenge policy design. *Public Administration*, 99(3), 427-438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12755>
- [8] Dallara, C., & Lacchei, A. (2021). Street-level bureaucrats and coping mechanisms. The unexpected role of Italian judges in asylum policy implementation. *South European Society and Politics*, 26(1), 83-108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13608746.2021.1956161>
- [9] Eiró, F., & Lotta, G. (2024). On the frontline of global inequalities: A decolonial approach to the study of street-level bureaucracies. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 34(1), 67-79. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muad019>
- [10] Eriksson, E., & Andersson, T. (2024). The 'service turn' in a new public management context: a street-level bureaucrat

- perspective. *Public Management Review*, 26(7), 2014-2038. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2241051>
- [11] Gofen, A. (2014). Mind the gap: Dimensions and influence of street-level divergence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(2), 473-493. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mut037>
- [12] Gofen, A., & Lotta, G. (2021). Street-level bureaucrats at the forefront of pandemic response: a comparative perspective. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 23(1), 3-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2020.1861421>
- [13] Hupe, P., & Hill, M. (2007). Street-Level bureaucracy and public accountability. *Public Administration*, 85(2), 279-299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00650.x>
- [14] Irfan, M., Aiyub, A., & Chalirafi, C. (2023). Optimization of Public Services. *International Journal of Public Administration Studies*, 3(1), 8-14. <https://doi.org/10.29103/ijpas.v3i1.12328>
- [15] Jackson, E.A. (2021). Importance of the Public Service in Achieving the UN SDGS. In: Leal Filho, W., Azul, A.M., Brandli, L., Lange Salvia, A., Wall, T. (eds) *Decent Work and Economic Growth. Encyclopedia of the UN Sustainable Development Goals*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95867-5_20
- [16] Keiser, L. R. (2010). Understanding street-level bureaucrats' decision making: Determining eligibility in the social security disability program. *Public Administration Review*, 70(2), 247-257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2010.02131.x>
- [17] Kras, K. R., Portillo, S., & Taxman, F. S. (2017). Managing from the middle: Frontline supervisors and perceptions of their organizational power. *Law & Policy*, 39(3), 215-236. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lapo.12079>
- [18] Lavee, E., & Cohen, G. (2024). Street-level bureaucrats' perceptions of "the job": Deviation from professional particularities and micro creation of public value. *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.13025>
- [19] Lipsky, M. (1980). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services*. Russell Sage Foundation. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003232928001000113>
- [20] Lipsky, M. (2010). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services (30th anniversary expanded ed.)*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- [21] Mark, D., McInerney, T., & Morison, J. (2024). Regulating automated decision-making in the justice system: what is the problem?. *Handbook on Public Policy and Artificial Intelligence*, 339-352. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803922171.00034>
- [22] Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M. and Saldana, J. (2014) *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook*. Sage, London.
- [23] Møller, A. M. (2021). Deliberation and deliberative organizational routines in frontline decision-making. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 31(3), 471-488. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muaa060>
- [24] Møller, M. Ø. (2021). Shadowy figures: The street-level bureaucracy research tradition in the twenty-first century. *Public Administration*, 99(4), 647-662. [10.4337/9781786437631.00017](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781786437631.00017)
- [25] Mützelburg, I. (2022). Policy Implementation in Practice: Political Power Struggles, Lack of Money, and Street-Level Bureaucrats. In *Transferring Asylum Norms to EU Neighbours: Multi-Scalar Policies and Practices in Ukraine* (pp. 145-170). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-04528-8_5
- [26] Qiang, L. (2024). Report on the Work of the Government. In *Delivered at the Second Session of the 14th National People's Congress of the People's Republic of China on March (Vol. 5, p. 2024)*.
- [27] Salam, R. (2023). Improving Public Services in Realizing Good Governance in Indonesia. *ENDLESS: International Journal Of Future Studies*, 6(2), 439-452. <http://endless-journal.com/index.php/endless>
- [28] Sevä, M., & Sandström, A. (2017). Decisions at Street Level: Assessing and explaining the implementation of the European water framework directive in Sweden. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 27(1), 74-89. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.1734>
- [29] Thomann, E., van Engen, N., & Tummers, L. (2018). The necessity of discretion: A behavioral evaluation of bottom-up implementation theory. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 28(4), 583-601. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muy024>
- [30] Tommasi, S. (2023). Digital Services Act and Non-discriminatory Digital Transformation. In *The Risk of Discrimination in the Digital Market: From the Digital Services Act to the Future* (pp. 13-35). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43640-6_2
- [31] Tummers, L., & Bekkers, V. (2014). Policy implementation, street-level bureaucracy, and the importance of discretion. *Public Management Review*, 16(4), 527-547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2013.841978>
- [32] Tummers, L. L., Bekkers, V., Vink, E., & Musheno, M. (2015). Coping during public service delivery: A conceptualization and systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(4), 1099-1126. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muu056>
- [33] Yu, S. (2024). "Although Burdened, Do We Need to Do More?" Street-Level Bureaucrats' Organizational Citizenship Behaviors in Poverty Alleviation Policy Implementation. *Administration & Society*, 00953997241268163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00953997241268163>
- [34] Zacka, B. (2017). *When the state meets the street: Public service and moral agency*. Harvard University Press. 10.1057/s41296-017-0189-9