Mini screw Assisted Rapid Palatal Expansion (MARPE) Application and Impact Evaluation Review

Hayder AL Nasrawi[1]*, Harraa S. Mohammed-Salih[2]*

[1]BDS, MSc, PhD Student, Orthodontic Department, College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad, Baghdad- Iraq. Department of Dentistry, Al_Ameed University College, Karbala, Iraq. E-mail: haidar.ali 1103a@codental.uobaghdad.edu.iq ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8695-8392
[2]BDS, MSc, PhD, Orthodontic Department, College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad/ Baghdad-Iraq. E-mail: dr.harraa_sabah@codental.uobaghdad.edu.iq ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7680-9437*

KEYWORDS

Rapid Maxillary Expansion, And Mini Screw Assist Rapid Maxillary Expansion

ABSTRACT

Abstract: A maxillary transverse deficit is one of the most frequent craniofacial issues. Rapid palatal expansion (RPE) has historically been recommended for the treatment of children and early adolescents. However, due to the ossification of facial sutures, this procedure is inappropriate for late teens or adults. The surgical method known as surgically aided rapid palatal expansion (SARPE) was first recommended for this group of individuals; however, the presence of morbidity is related to the surgical process. Micro-implant-assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) has been used to enable maxillary expansion in skeletally mature patients. Through a discussion of its history, indications, treatment effects, stability, and limits in the existing body of research, the purpose of this article is to explore the function that MARPE plays in clinical orthodontics.

.

1. Introduction

Rapid Maxillary Expansion (RME) has been used extensively to expand the maxilla's transverse dimensions in individual's undergrowth[1]. RME makes it possible to separate the transverse maxillary deficiency, followed by the growth of the skeletal orthopaedic system. The SARPE treatment technique is a method that assists in overcoming the greater resistance that is caused by the bony palate and the zygomatic buttress in adults[2]. However, SARPE has a number of drawbacks, including as high cost, a complicated treatment method, and surgical morbidity[2, 3]. Additionally, it requires hospitalization and general anaesthesia, which may cause patients to be dissuaded from undergoing surgical-orthodontic therapy for good[4].

Therefore, some attempts have been undertaken to minimize the dangers and restrictions associated with RPE surgery. Previous histological investigations have shown that the Mid Palatal Suture (MPS) starts to disappear throughout the juvenile period, with a significant degree of closure being detected in the third decade of life[5]. It is because of this maturity of the MPS and neighbouring articulations that the resistance to mechanical stresses is greatly increased[6]. That being the case, the results of typical palatal expansion devices that are used after the conclusion of growth are less effective[7].

The expansion forces are transmitted via the teeth by traditional tooth-anchored appliances, such as conventional RPE or quad-helix[1]. As a result, the bending of the alveolar bone and the tilting of buccal segments are inescapable[8]. This not only takes up significant activation of the appliance but also reduces the true skeletal effect as well as creates clockwise rotation of the mandible[9], thus opening the bite and can also produce unwanted effects in adults, such as tipping of the anchored teeth, undesirable tooth movement, limited skeletal movement gingival recession[10], hypersensitivity, and vestibular root resorption of the supporting teeth[11] and bony dehiscence and fenestrations[12] as well as post-expansion relapse may be contributing factors for treatment failure. The orthopaedic expansion of the basal bone is crucial in individuals who are not growing, as it helps to minimize the adverse consequences of the procedure[13].

To ensure the expansion of the basal bone without surgical intervention and to maintain the separated bone in consolidation, MARPE was first introduced by Lee, he reported successful expansion of the

maxilla through the opening of the MPS[14]. MARPE is being frequently utilised to overcome the abovementioned disadvantages. MARPE is a new technique in RPE where mini-implants are used to fixate the expansion appliance to the maxillary palatal bones[15, 16]. A force is generated when the expansion screw is turned, which then passes to the mini-implants, and then to the palatal bone, which lies adjacent to the MPS. This force works to break apart the interdigitation of the maxillary palatal complex between the maxillary palatal bones[16].

The zygomaticomaxillary, nasomaxillary, and pterygomaxillary buttresses in the maxilla are the primary locations along which stress distribution trajectories are located. Therefore, the MARPE appliance is advantageous in adult patients who have a greater sutural resistance to skeletal expansion[17]. It is also advantageous in young patients because it reduces or even eliminates dental tipping, which helps to avoid further increases in the vertical dimension as well as other side effects that have been mentioned[18]. However, the MARPE appliance has some drawbacks, including the difficulty in maintaining a clean area, the invasiveness of the micro-implants, and the increased risk of infection[19].

Can there be complications with the Marpe technique?

Marpe treatment-related pain scale.

In the study conducted by Tasi to evaluate the effects of MARPE on 29 patients, the average level of pain reported by patients ranged from moderate to mild to severe[20]. With a standard deviation of 2.40, the patient's pain ratings were very variable, with an average of 4.38 indicating considerable discomfort in the category. Almost 50% of people who took the pain scale gave it a rating below 3. Nonetheless, five of the participants gave it a rating higher than 7. Although the extent of these gender differences varies among research, women generally show more pain sensitivity, better pain facilitation, and less pain inhibition compared to males among patients who reported severe pain or higher[21]. Many demographic characteristics (such as sex, age, and ethnic group), genetic components, and psychosocial processes are among the many biological and psychosocial variables that may contribute to these individual variations in pain perception, which is particularly patient-oriented[22]. Before MARPE, it is important to explain the likelihood of pain and suffering.

Negative consequences during the insertion of MARPE.

Microimplant placement at the mid-palatal suture is a clinical procedure that is generally safe[20]. Anatomical structures such as the incisive foramen, major palatine foramen, and larger palatine artery are located distant from the first molar level of the paramedian area, which is where MSE is indicated to be inserted[23]. In addition, the region 3e4 mm behind the incisive foramen and 3e9 mm lateral to the midpalatal suture was determined by Winsauer et al. to yield good palatal bone quality and thickness[23]. To establish improved primary stability, this location is advised for Microimplant implantation.

The occurrence of iatrogenic injuries during Microimplant implantation has been extremely rare. Nevertheless, the individual had epistaxis as a result of the pilot drilling perforating the nasal mucosa[24]. To lessen the likelihood of screw deformation and bending during device activation, most MSE studies recommend bicortical engagement of microimplants. Microimplant perforation of the nasal cavity fortunately seldom results in significant clinical complications[8, 25].

The complications that can occur with the MARPE technique are reduced to gingival inflammation and the generation of mucosa around the micro-screws. Such complications can be prevented by following the indicated dental hygiene instructions[25].

Complications after operation/during expansion.

Microimplants are commonly utilised as temporary anchorage devices in orthodontics[26, 27]. Microimplants offer various benefits, including low cost, tiny size, easy insertion and removal, and

instant loading. However, problems such as inflammation, damage to neighbouring structures, and micro implant failure have been recorded in the literature[28].

Tasi et al's in study indicated that over half of the participants developed inflammation or swelling of the palatal mucosa during the growth stage. After MSE surgery, patients were given a low dose of Amoxicillin (250 mg every 8 hours for 3 days) and experienced no irritation or discomfort. During expansion, MSE devices were often difficult to clean and irritated. If purulence was detected throughout the palatal mucosa, a higher dose of Amoxicillin (500 mg every 8 hours for 5 days) was administered. Chlorhexidine mouthwash was also recommended. MSE device distortion was seen during expansion in four subjects, including three worn-out spanner keys and one distorted expander. Incorrect positioning of the spanner keys to trigger the nuts most likely caused their wear and tear.

The softer metal of the spanner key compared to the nut could explain the worn-out inner surface. The expander was distorted due to a botched suture opening. attributed the deformation to the high resistance around mid-palatal and adjacent sutures during activation.

Regarding the failure rate of microimplants

Except for one systematic review, the majority of the evaluated reviews indicated a high success rate of 90% or more when it came to Microimplant failure rates. The success rate was less than 56% according to this review[29]. The early use of orthodontic force in teenage patients, which occurred within 4 weeks, resulted in a decreased success rate (15.9 ± 1.2 years). The authors suggested a 3-month latent period to increase the success rate in adolescents. inadequate primary stability due to a lack of sufficient cortical bone thickness at the implant location[30]. Another research found that acute sinusitis occurred one week following MARPE activation. The expansion process can compress the periodontal ligament (PDL) area, changing the blood flow surrounding the tooth. This might revive the anaerobic bacterial flora and lead to a flare-up of the abscess[16].

Failure or adverse effect of suture opening

An evaluation of the morphological state of patients' midpalatal sutures should be done before the use of MARPE. Angelieri et al. presented a staging approach. CBCTs were performed on a total of 140 participants, ranging in age from 5.6 to 58.4 years. These subjects were then separated into four groups based on their chronological ages, which were as follows: 5e11 years, 11e14 years, 14e18 years, and >18 years. They discovered that the chronologic age was not a valid method for identifying the developmental status of mid-palatal sutures. For this reason, they proposed using computed tomography (CBCT) to examine the maturational stage of the patient before administering rapid palatal expansion treatment[31].

Advantages of MARPE

The following are some of the most common changes that patients observe following palatal expansion:

• correction of insufficient upper jaw width without the need for surgery

• correction of crowding or crossbite

• widening of the smile, improvement of facial aesthetics

• improvement of breathing, reduction of nasal resistance

• correction of mild underbite in adolescents and adults throughout the procedure.

Problems with the jaw and bite can frequently be resolved with MARPE, which leads to biting and chewing that is more comfortable and allows for more functionality. Even though facial aesthetics is not the primary goal of maxillary skeletal expansion, many patients report that they experience a slight improvement in their facial profile once their jaws and teeth are brought into appropriate alignment, In a literal sense, easier breathing.

The floor of the nose, which is a component of the upper jaw, is exactly the same thing as the roof of the mouth. When the upper jaw is extended, the nasal cavity likewise expands to accommodate the new size. Once the surgery is complete, the majority of patients are taken aback by the sensation that they are receiving significantly more oxygen, even if this was not the primary objective of their intended treatment. Furthermore, patients have reported that they have seen an increase in both their physical and mental endurance after undergoing MARPE/MSE. This improvement can be attributed to their enhanced capacity to breathe and metabolise oxygen.

A sleep-disordered breathing pattern that is brought on by undeveloped maxillary bones can be alleviated with the help of the larger nasal cavity. The long-term repercussions of sleep-disordered breathing include increased risks of heart disease, atherosclerosis, and dementia owing to inflammation. In addition to leaving patients feeling fatigued, these effects contribute to the development of dementia. Improving your nasal breathing can not only help you get a better night's sleep, but it can also improve your general well-being, lower your anxiety levels, and significantly boost your quality of life.

This particular form of palatal expansion would be an excellent option for your adolescent child if they have restricted space between their permanent teeth or a thin maxilla (upper jaw). When it comes to Phase 1 orthodontics, we frequently propose a straightforward RPE device that is attached to the upper back teeth of children who are younger than ten years old. As a result of the palatal suture becoming fused during the adolescent years, children who are approximately 10 years old or older require the mindscrew-assisted version of expanders, which is more stable. If you are interested in learning more about orthodontics for children and teenagers, including growth-appropriate palatal expansion, please visit our page on growth-focused orthodontics.

How long does the treatment take?

MARPE treatment time varies depending on the patient's age and the severity of the case. On average, it takes about 6-12 weeks to get enough midpalatal suture opening. This suture opening will be expressed in most cases as a gap between the front teeth. However, the absence of the gap is not always an indicator of a failure in suture opening. After 12 weeks, the MARPE expander screw is left at least for one year to get new bone. This is important to prevent any possible relapse.

Method of the insertion process

The installation of a Temporary Anchorage Device (TAD) with a standard straight driver or an engine-mounted driver may be challenging at times due to the lack of directional control and torque that is required to drive the implant into the hard palatal bone. When installing the miniscrews, it is possible to use a palatal driver that is specifically designed to maintain proper insertion angulation and torque. The palatal implants may be placed with a great deal of convenience and accuracy thanks to the driver's one-of-a-kind design, which makes everything extremely easy.

A timeline and methodology for activation

The first activation process is carried out for two turns each day until the establishment of diastema, and then it is repeated for one turn per day until adequate expansion has been attained[15].

Initial rate of expansion after the opening of the diastema as measured by the patient's age

There are three rotations each week for early adolescents.

1 turn each day for late teens 1 turn per day

Adults get two turns per day and one turn per day.

>2 twists per day 1 turn per day for patients older than 30 years old

Appliance repair and maintenance.

For all of the participants, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) (CBCT was taken to define and

assess the maturational stages of the midpalatal suture and the position and depth mini screw), study model, and photographs should be taken at time point T0: before expansion, time point T1: three months after beginning, and to ensure the highest possible level of oral hygiene, all of the participants were subjected to full-mouth ultrasonic scaling and polishing with oral hygiene instructions two weeks before the application of the procedure[16].

Obtaining the functioning dental cast requires placing separators for forty-eight hours near the teeth that are going to be banded. In most cases, the maxillary teeth are banded first. After removing the separators, the next step is to place the orthodontic bands on the teeth that have been selected. As a means of making insertion easier, each band is slightly bigger by one size. The band should be removed from the patient's mouth before the maxillary imprint is produced. Light and heavy silicon impressions should be used to obtain the impression. First, the impression should be disinfected, and then it should be rinsed under cold running water to remove any debris or disinfecting solutions that may still be present. Remove any extra water by shaking it out, and then spray it with compressed air. During the impression, the band becomes more stable. Pouring the model into the dental stone after 15 minutes will prevent the model from being deformed as a result of desiccation[13].

After the expanding screw has been positioned on the dental cast, the areas where the miniscrews will be inserted are selected. It is essential to determine the height of the fixation rings for the expanding screw in MARPE, as well as their distance from the surface of the palate soft tissue, to achieve the appropriate length of mini-screws in MARPE. Additionally, the assessment involves measuring the height of the fixation rings for both the anterior and posterior miniscrews, in addition to the measurement of bone and soft tissue[32].

(The total length of the Miniscrew (MI) is determined by several different variables, including the bone thickness (o), which must be increased by 1.0 to 2.0 mm to guarantee that the tip of the Miniscrew extends beyond the cortical plate of the nasal fossa; the thickness of the soft tissue (m); the thickness of the fixation ring (a); and the distance from the ring to the palatal surface (d). MI = o + m + a + d + (1 or 2) is the equation that is used to compute the total length of the Miniscrew. The value is represented in millimetres. The equation is provided below)[23].

To accomplish palatal infiltration of the hard palate, local anaesthesia was administered by administering a 2% spray of Lido Caine™ Septodont (Creteil, France) for one minute. This was then followed by the application of a solution of epinephrine 1:100,000 (ARTICAINE™ Septodont, Creteil, France) with articaine hydrochloride. When placing a skeletal expander MSE II, it is recommended to use bands that are wrapped around the first tooth. After that, four mini-implants were implanted, each of which had a diameter of 1.8 mm, ranging from 11 mm to 13 mm, and a middle diameter of 11 mm. Remove the identical connection that was used for installation when the expansion has been completed, along with the digital key, which should be twisted in an anticlockwise direction slow and steady[20, 23].

Instructions include:

Transverse Maxillary Deficiency (TMD).

In adolescents or adults with a restricted maxilla with a unilateral or bilateral crossbite, the palatal suture is frequently hard and intricately interdigitated, necessitating considerable care to separate. A common method for diagnosing and quantifying a restricted maxilla based on Andrews' six keys of occlusion was suggested for clinical use [25]. Maxillary breadth was measured on a stone cast between the most concave spots in the vestibule, at the level of the first molar's mesiobuccally cusp. The breadth of the jaw was measured at the level of the first molars' mesiobuccally grooves on the WALA ridge, the most prominent portion of the buccal alveolar bone. The sizes of these two structures should be equivalent in a properly developing mandible and maxilla [1].

Within the context of computed tomography (CT), Lee et al.2 presented the Yonsei transverse index as a diagnostic tool for determining the presence of a transverse skeletal discrepancy. A CT scan was

performed, and in order to depict transverse reference points, an estimated centre of resistance was used. This centre of resistance was situated at the furcation level of either the upper or lower first molar. It was observed that the average difference between the transverse widths of the maxillary and mandibular bones (Yonsei transverse index) at the estimated centres of resistance was -0.39 ± 1.87 millimetres in the Class I patients, but in the Class III surgical group, the difference was in the range of -3.17 ± 3.17 millimetres. The difference was substantial according to statistical analysis. The Ricketts Rocky Mountain analysis, in which markers were located a significant distance from the alveolar basal bone, was thought to be one of the methods that may give greater insight into the transverse disparity than computed tomography (CT)[14].

Treatment using a facemask for MARPE in patients who are developing and have reached skeletal maturity in Class III

It was reported by Moon that it was feasible to stimulate the development of the maxilla in an anteroposterior orientation by applying MARPE and a facemask to individuals who were developing and who had reached skeletal maturity[33]. On the other hand, when the skeletal connection was improved, it was discovered that the dental compensations were reduced or even stopped altogether. Furthermore, it is possible to exercise control over the undesirable dental consequences that are associated with the buccal tilting and the extrusion of the maxillary molars[34]. This prevented the mandibular backward rotation from becoming worse while the maxilla was protracted. Wilmes et al. found that the combination of tooth-bone-borne RPE and facemask treatment was successful in treating maxillary protraction in young patients (mean age of 11.2 years)[35]. This alternate therapy seems to be beneficial in reducing the negative effects of the mesial migration of the anterior teeth, according to the suggestions that were made. In skeletally mature individuals, the process of facemask protraction was observed to be slower and needed a greater force. Moon utilised a protraction force of one kilogramme on each side in a patient who was 24 years old and reported that a distraction-like protraction of the mid-face could be accomplished. On the other hand, further clinical research is required to provide a comprehensive explanation for the bone and dental abnormalities that precede protraction[33].

The combination of a weakened periodontium or an insufficient number of teeth, as well as a maxillary transverse deficiency.

CBCT scans were used by Toklu et al. to examine the effects of tooth-borne and hybrid (tooth-bone-borne) expansion procedures on periodontal, dentoalveolar, and skeletal health. In the group that was strictly tooth-borne, it was found that the thickness of the buccal bone reduced throughout the premolar region[36]. On the other hand, the hybrid group maintained the thickness of the buccal bone. It was determined that individuals who had a risk of periodontal damage over the buccal regions during expansion may be candidates for tooth-borne expansion. This was the conclusion reached. Wilmes and Ludwig et al. also proposed the use of MARPE rather of an RPE if a patient did not have an anterior dental anchoring for the expander. This was indicated in situations where the patient was missing deciduous teeth or had premolar roots that were not fully grown[37].

The impact of MARPE on treatment.

Effects on the suture

A study conducted by Cantarella et al. examined the alterations that occurred in the midpalatal suture after hybrid MARPE treatment. The researchers discovered that the amount of separation at the PNS (4.3 mm) was responsible for roughly 90 per cent of the expansion at the level of the ANS (4.8 mm). The implication of this was that the suture extended in a manner that was practically parallel to the direction of the anterior to the posterior. At the ANS level, the percentage was 71% (4.8 mm), and at the PNS level, it was 63% (4.3 mm). This is in comparison to the actual jackscrew opening, which was 6.8 mm[38]. A CBCT research conducted by Zong et al.38 similarly yielded comparable findings[39].

Park et al. discovered a pyramidal pattern of maxillary expansion in the coronal plane after MARPE in 14 young adults (19 were recruited, but 5 were rejected according to the exclusion criteria). This finding indicates that the maxillary expansion was a pyramidal pattern. There were only three patients who had suture-opening failure out of the original 19 patients who were treated with MARPE, and the success rate was recorded as 84.2%[40]. In addition, Choi et al.4 and Lim et al.48 found comparable success rates of 86.9 and 86.8% in their respective studies researching young people[27]. In light of this, it was clear that MARPE was a feasible treatment that was capable of opening sutures in both adults and adolescents.

Cantarella et al.49 evaluated midfacial alterations in the coronal plane of computed tomography (CBCT) scans of 15 patients. The subjects had a mean age of 17.2 ± 4.2 years. The researchers discovered that the link between the maxillary basal bone and the zygoma remained unchanged throughout the process of expansion[41]. The components rotated in unison around a central axis of rotation that was located just above the superior portion of the frontozygomatic suture. It was discovered that the rotation of each zygomaticomaxillary complex increased by 0.6 degrees for every millimetre that was added to the distance between the zygomatic centres. Additionally, it was discovered that the ethmoid and frontal bones were rather stable and did not undergo significant changes following the enlargement[41].

Furthermore, Cantarella et al.used CBCT pictures to evaluate the zygomaticomaxillary alterations that occurred in the axial planes after orthodontic growth. The front inter-maxillary distance was found to have shown a significant shift of 2.76 millimetres, whereas the posterior inter-zygomatic distance showed a smaller change of 2.4 millimetres. The distance between the most anterior points on the right and left maxilla was measured to calculate the anterior inter-maxillary distance[41]. On the other hand, the posterior inter-zygomatic distance was obtained by measuring the distance between the most outer points on the right and left zygomaticotemporal sutures from the posterior to the anterior. The right and left sides of the zygomatic process angles both grew by 1.74 degrees, whereas the right side increased by 2.13 degrees. According to the authors, the position of the zygomaticomaxillary complex was considered to be in the proximal region of the zygomatic process of the temporal bone in the horizontal plane. These modifications showed that the complex revolved around a centre of rotation. This fulcrum was positioned more posteriorly and laterally than the one reported for tooth-borne expanders.1,46,51 As the zygomaticomaxillary complex rotated outwards, the maxillary halves may have moved laterally and anteriorly. This is because the maxilla is placed more anteriorly than the rotational fulcrum. As a consequence of bone bending around the zygomatic process of the temporal bone during expansion with a micro-implant-assisted skeletal expander, the maxilla, zygomatic bone, and complete zygomatic arch may be displaced in a lateral direction, according to the overall findings. This displacement can occur in a lateral direction.

The consequences of dentoalveolar

The researchers Cantarella et al. discovered that the distance between the intermolar grew substantially, while the inclination of the molars about the maxillary bone did not generate any significant alteration[38]. It was found by Park et al.[40] that the expansion quantities were comparable in the axial plane at the levels of the tooth crown and the alveolar crest in both the anterior and posterior areas. From the anterior to the posterior position, the thickness of the buccal bone dropped by 0.6–1.1 mm, and the height of the crest fell by 1.7–2.2 mm. The higher buccal bone density over the maxillary canine/premolar region may be the cause of the greater buccal tilting that was seen over the first molars as opposed to the first premolars. The cortical bone that surrounds the premolars offers a stronger barrier to prevent buccal inclination during growth[10, 30].

The Influence on the Airway

CBCT scans were used by Kim et al.54 in order to compare the alterations that occurred before to MARPE (T0) to those that occurred immediately after expansion (T1) and then again at a follow-up one year later (T2)[6]. It was discovered that the capacity of the nasal cavity rose by 9.9% from Day

0 to Day 1, and by 5.5% from Day 1 to Day 2. 15.4% was the overall expansion increment that occurred between the commencement of the study and the one-year examination. From time zero to time one, time one to time two, and time zero to time two, respectively, the nasopharynx grew by 6.4, 4.1, and 10.5%[16].

By expanding the nasal floor, calavo et al.were able to extend the maxilla with an outpatient surgical method known as endoscopically-assisted surgical expansion (EASE)[42]. They also observed that this surgery resulted in an improvement in nasal ventilation and obstructive sleep apnea in both adolescents and adults. Following treatment, the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) and the oxygen desaturation index (ODI) showed considerable improvement. Additionally, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) and the Nasal Obstruction Septoplasty Effectiveness (NOSE) scale showed significant reductions. It was seen that the CBCT pictures showed an expansion of 4.9 ± 1.2 mm and 5.6 ± 1.2 mm across the anterior and posterior nasal floors, respectively[42]. Additionally, a diastema measuring 2.3 ± 0.8 mm was developed between the central incisors. It is interesting to note that Chang et al. showed that a traditional RPE may also induce a 25.9% increase in the pharyngeal volume in early teenagers. This increase may be the result of growth and variations in the patient's age[43].

In a recent research, the respiratory airflow and muscular strength were examined both before and after MARPE[38, 42]. The results showed that there was a considerable increase of 30.45% in nasal inspiratory peak flow immediately after expansion, and this increase was sustained after five months of retention at a level of 30.28%. After expansion, there was a considerable increase of 26% in the volume of the airway. Both the maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP) and the maximum expiratory pressure (MEP) were used in order to evaluate the strength of the respiratory muscles. After a period of five months of growth, MIP demonstrated a notable improvement of twenty percent. Immediately after the enlargement (T1), MEP showed a noteworthy rise of 10%; however, after five months, there was no discernible change seen. After conducting their research, the scientists came to the conclusion that MARPE had the potential to not only enhance the capacity of the airways but also generate a considerable improvement in respiratory performance.

Hur et al.63 conducted an investigation of the impact that MARPE had on the airflow in the upper airway of an adult patient who suffered from obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. The researchers employed computational fluid-structure interaction analysis to conduct their study[44]. There were seven and nine cross-sectional planes, each with an interplane spacing of ten millimetres, respectively, that were positioned along the nasal cavity and the pharynx. In the front portion of the nasal cavity and the upper portion of the pharynx, the change in area increments that occurred as a result of MARPE at the previously established planes was substantially bigger. Even though the pharynx was located farther away from the MARPE equipment, the increase was greater in the pharynx than it was in the nasal cavity. On the other hand, the nasal cavity demonstrated a more significant reduction in both the pressure and velocity of airflow compared to the pharynx. The results of the study led to the conclusion that MARPE can greatly reduce the overall resistance throughout the breathing cycle while also expanding the upper airway.

2. Conclusion and future scope

Micro-implant-assisted rapid palatal expanders (MARPE) have shown encouraging results in suture opening after being applied over the zygomaticomaxillary complex. According to the published research, the success rate of mid-palatal suture widening was on average between 80 and 90 per cent. MARPE can have a higher impact on the skeletal structure than dental tilting after growth in both adults and adolescents. In borderline instances that appear with a constricted maxilla or a modest Class III skeletal pattern, this may be an indication that these conditions are present. Therefore, MARPE might be regarded as a viable option if traditional rapid palatal expansion (RPE) is unable to match the therapeutic expectations of a doctor. It is recommended that future research include more

investigations that focus on the long-term stability of obstructive sleep apnea as well as an improvement in the therapeutic treatment of the condition.

Reference

[1] Ayub, P.V., et al., *Intercenter comparison of slow and rapid maxillary expansion in unilateral complete cleft lip and palate.* Dental Press Journal of Orthodontics, 2022. 27: p. e2220233.

[2] Gürler, G., et al., *Skeletal changes following surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion (SARME).* European Oral Research, 2018. **52**(2): p. 94-98.

[3] Bala, A.K., et al., *Short-term skeletal and dentoalveolar effects of overexpansion: A pilot randomized controlled trial.* The Angle Orthodontist, 2022. **92**(1): p. 55-63.

[4] Batool, M., et al., *Effects of orthodontic pain on quality of life of patients undergoing orthodontic treatment in pakistan.* INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES, 2018. **5**(4): p. 2462-2466.

[5] Curtis, G.N., et al., *Impact of Non-Surgical and Surgically Assisted Rapid Maxillary Expansion Procedures upon the Periodontium: A Systematic Review.* Applied sciences, 2024. **14**(4): p. 1669.

[6] MacGinnis, M., et al., *The effects of micro-implant assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) on the nasomaxillary complex—a finite element method (FEM) analysis.* Progress in orthodontics, 2014. **15**: p. 1-15.

[7] de Araújo, M.C., et al., *Perceived pain during rapid maxillary expansion in children with different expanders: A prospective study.* The Angle Orthodontist, 2021. **91**(4): p. 484-489.

[8] Bud, E., et al., *Observational study regarding possible side effects of Miniscrew-Assisted Rapid Palatal Expander (MARPE) with or without the use of Corticopuncture Therapy. Biology. 2021; 10: 187*. 2021, s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published ….

[9] Bud, E.S., et al., *Observational study regarding possible side effects of miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expander (MARPE) with or without the use of corticopuncture therapy.* Biology, 2021. **10**(3): p. 187.

[10] de Assis, D.S.F.R., et al., *Evaluation of the mesio-buccal gingival sulcus depth of the upper central incisors in patients submitted to surgically assisted maxillary expansion.* Oral and maxillofacial surgery, 2011. **15**: p. 79-84.

[11] Mohammed-Salih, H.S., et al., *Detection of orthodontically induced inflammatory root resorption-associated biomarkers from the gingival crevicular fluid by proteomics analysis: a randomized-controlled clinical trial.* 3 Biotech, 2023. **13**(5): p. 157.

[12] Saloom, H.F., et al., *Biological evaluation of alveolar bone remodeling in methylprednisolone treated–rats during orthodontic tooth movement.* Scientific Journal Published by the College of Dentistry–University of Baghdad, 2012: p. 133.

[13] Jabur, S.F., *Influence of removable orthodontic appliance on oral microbiological status.* Journal of the Faculty of Medicine Baghdad, 2008. **50**(2): p. 199-202.

[14] Lee, K.-J., et al., *Miniscrew-assisted nonsurgical palatal expansion before orthognathic surgery for a patient with severe mandibular prognathism.* American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2010. **137**(6): p. 830-839.

[15] Jeon, J.Y., et al., *The success and effectiveness of miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expansion are age-and sex-dependent.* Clinical oral investigations, 2022. **26**(3): p. 2993-3003.

[16] Lim, H.-M., et al., *Stability of dental, alveolar, and skeletal changes after miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expansion.* Korean journal of orthodontics, 2017. **47**(5): p. 313.

[17] Suzuki, S.S., et al., *Corticopuncture facilitated microimplant-assisted rapid palatal expansion.* Case reports in dentistry, 2018. **2018**(1): p. 1392895.

[18] de Oliveira, C.B., et al., *Microimplant assisted rapid palatal expansion vs surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion for maxillary transverse discrepancy treatment.* American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2021. **159**(6): p. 733-742.

[19] Minervino, B.L., et al., *MARPE guide: a case report.* The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice, 2019. **20**(9): p. 1102-1107.

[20] Tsai, H.-R., et al., *Evaluation of patients' experiences after microimplant-assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) treatment.* Taiwanese Journal of Orthodontics, 2021. **33**(1): p. 2.

[21] Almasoud, N.N., *Pain perception among patients treated with passive self-ligating fixed appliances and Invisalign® aligners during the first week of orthodontic treatment.* The korean journal of orthodontics, 2018. **48**(5): p. 326-332.

[22] Cardoso, P.C., et al., *Pain level between clear aligners and fixed appliances: a systematic review.* Progress in orthodontics, 2020. **21**: p. 1-17.

[23] Nojima, L.I., et al., *Mini-implant selection protocol applied to MARPE.* Dental press journal of orthodontics, 2018. **23**(05): p. 93-101.

[24] Lee, R.J., W. Moon, and C. Hong, *Effects of monocortical and bicortical mini-implant anchorage on bone-borne palatal expansion using finite element analysis.* American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2017. **151**(5): p. 887-897.

[25] Tsai, C.-Y., et al., *Comparison of the effects of micro-osteoperforation and corticision on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement in rats.* The Angle Orthodontist, 2016. **86**(4): p. 558-564.

[26] Abed, S.S. and A.I. Al-Bustani, *Corticotomy assisted orthodontic canine retraction.* Journal of Baghdad College of Dentistry, 2013. **325**(2210): p. 1-7.

[27] Baik, H.-S., Y.-G. Kang, and Y.J. Choi, *Miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expansion: a review of recent reports.* Journal of the World federation of orthodontists, 2020. **9**(3): p. S54-S58.

[28] Lin, L., et al., *Tooth-borne vs bone-borne rapid maxillary expanders in late adolescence.* The Angle Orthodontist, 2015. **85**(2): p. 253-262.

[29] Motoyoshi, M., M. Matsuoka, and N. Shimizu, *Application of orthodontic mini-implants in adolescents.* International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery, 2007. **36**(8): p. 695-699.

[30] Abbas, S.A. and A.F. Alhuwaizi, *Buccal cortical bone thickness in Iraqi arab adults by cone beam computed tomography for orthodontic mini-implants.* Journal of Baghdad College of Dentistry, 2017. **29**(1): p. 183-187.

[31] Angelieri, F., et al., *Midpalatal suture maturation: classification method for individual assessment before rapid maxillary expansion.* American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2013. **144**(5): p. 759-769.

[32] Ho, C., *Skeletal Changes after Rapid Maxillary Expansion and Fixed Orthodontic Treatment: A CBCT Study.* 2014.

[33] Moon, W. *Class III treatment by combining facemask (FM) and maxillary skeletal expander (MSE)*. in *Seminars in Orthodontics*. 2018. Elsevier.

[34] Achmad, H. and R. Ardiansya, *Effectiveness of Rapid Palatal Expansion (RPE) in Class II Maloclusion and the Relationship with Muscle Contraction in Childhood Growth: Systematic Review.* European Journal of Dental and Oral Health, 2022. **3**(1): p. 15-20.

[35] Chuang, Y.-H., et al., *The role of micro-implant-assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) in clinical orthodontics—A literature review.* Australasian Orthodontic Journal, 2021. **37**(2): p. 206-216.

[36] Prévé, S. and B.G. Alcázar, *Interest of miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expansion on the upper airway in growing patients: A systematic review.* International Orthodontics, 2022. **20**(3): p. 100657.

[37] Inchingolo, A.D., et al., *Rapid Maxillary Expansion on the Adolescent Patient: Systematic Review and Case Report.* Children, 2022. **9**(7): p. 1046.

[38] Cantarella, D., et al., *Changes in the midpalatal and pterygopalatine sutures induced by micro-implant-supported skeletal expander, analyzed with a novel 3D method based on CBCT imaging.* Prog Orthod, 2017. **18**(1): p. 34.

[39] Zong, C., et al. *Skeletal and dentoalveolar changes in the transverse dimension using microimplant-assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) appliances*. in *Seminars in Orthodontics*. 2019. Elsevier.

[40] Park, J.J., et al., *Critical issues concerning miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expanders: A narrative review.* Seminars in Orthodontics, 2024.

[41] Cantarella, D., et al., *Digital planning and manufacturing of maxillary skeletal expander for patients with thin palatal bone.* Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 2021: p. 299-311.

[42] Calvo-Henriquez, C., et al., *The Impact of Maxillary Expansion on Adults' Nasal Breathing: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.* American Journal of Rhinology & Allergy, 2021. **35**(6): p. 923-934.

[43] Al-Khalifa, K.S. and H.A. Baeshen, *Micro-osteoperforations and its effect on the rate of tooth movement: a systematic review.* European Journal of Dentistry, 2021. **15**(01): p. 158-167.

[44] Benetti, M., et al., *Does Miniscrew-Assisted Rapid Palatal Expansion Influence Upper Airway in Adult Patients? A Scoping Review.* Dent J (Basel), 2024. **12**(3).

.