

Review Article

## Integrating Mathematical and Biomechanical Models in Sports Performance: A Multidisciplinary Approach

Aida Bendo<sup>\*1</sup>  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0774-1552>,

Elda Maraj<sup>2</sup>  <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1143-9126>,

Orkida Kosta<sup>3</sup>  <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5214-3068>

<sup>\*1</sup>Sports University of Tirana, Faculty of Physical Activity and Recreation, Department of Movement and Health,  
Street: “Muhamed Gjollësja”, 1001, Tirana, Albania

<sup>2</sup>Polytechnic University of Tirana, Faculty of Mathematical Engineering and Physical Engineering, Department of  
Mathematical Engineering, Street: “Muhamed Gjollësja”, 1001, Tirana, Albania

<sup>3</sup>Sports University of Tirana, Faculty of Movement Sciences, Department of Education and Health, Street:  
“Muhamed Gjollësja”, 1001, Tirana, Albania

\*Corresponding author: [abendo@ust.edu.al](mailto:abendo@ust.edu.al)

### KEYWORDS

biomechanical  
analysis, injury  
prevention, sports  
performance

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The integration of mathematical and biomechanical models into sports science has revolutionized the approach to performance analysis, injury prevention, and training optimization across various sports disciplines. These models provide a quantitative framework to understand complex human movements and the interactions between athletes and their environment. The application of these models has become increasingly sophisticated with advancements in technology, offering athletes and coaches valuable insights to enhance athletic performance.

**Aim of Study:** This review article aims to comprehensively synthesize current research on the application of mathematical and biomechanical models in sports, to evaluate the effectiveness of these models and to explore their applications in sports disciplines and rehabilitation regimes.

**Methods:** The review encompasses a systematic search of peer-reviewed literature, including journal articles, conference proceedings, and dissertations, from the past two decades. Key databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were queried using relevant keywords related to mathematical modeling, biomechanics, and sports performance. Studies were included if they applied quantitative models to analyze or predict sports performance, injury risk, or rehabilitation outcomes in any sport.

**Results:** The findings indicate a wide range of applications for mathematical and biomechanical models, from predicting the outcomes of competitive events to optimizing training regimens. Advanced computational techniques, such as finite element analysis and musculoskeletal modeling, have provided detailed insights into the biomechanical demands of sports-specific movements. These models

have also been instrumental in developing personalized training interventions and informing equipment design to enhance performance and reduce injury risk.

**Discussion:** The review discusses the versatility of mathematical and biomechanical models in various sports, highlighting their contribution to the understanding of athletic performance. The integration of these models with wearable technology and data analytics has further expanded their potential applications. However, the complexity of human movement and the variability between individuals present challenges in model development and validation.

**Conclusion:** Mathematical and biomechanical models have become indispensable tools in modern sports science, offering objective and detailed analyses for sports analysis and rehabilitation. While the potential benefits are substantial, future research should focus on addressing the current limitations and enhancing the predictive capabilities of these models. Continued innovation in this field promises to further elevate the performance and well-being of athletes across all levels of sport.

## INTRODUCTION

Mathematical models, ranging from empirical equations to complex computational simulations, have been employed to predict outcomes, analyze techniques, and optimize strategies in sports. Biomechanical models are focused on the physical aspects of movement, allowing for the estimation of individual muscle forces involved in motor action during athletic activities, and providing the necessary tools to develop movement devices to facilitate clinical rehabilitation or assess sports performance [1]. These models offer a quantitative foundation for comprehending intricate human movements and how athletes interact with their surroundings. As technology has advanced, the use of these models has grown more complex, providing coaches and athletes with insightful information to improve athletic performance. Enhancing athletic performance has always been a priority for players, coaches, and sports scientists. With the advancement of sophisticated mathematical and biomechanical models, the analysis of sports training has undergone a significant transformation. Interest in artificial intelligence and computational methods has surged, aiming to create and identify intelligent systems, connecting with fields like mathematics, neuroscience, and informatics, resulting in wide applications for complex systems [2]. Sports data analysis and prediction have become critical components of competitive strategy and are transforming sports management, enabling teams to make informed decisions, analyze biomechanical data, and manage player workload to prevent injuries and prolong player lifespans [3]. Mathematical models applied in track and field have been used to enhance our understanding of the biomechanics of sprinting, enabling coaches to tailor training programs to individual athletes' needs[4]. Quantitative analyses of the basketball game inform team strategy; management of player health and fitness, focusing on methods for quantifying and characterizing basketball gameplay [5]. Similarly, in team sports such as soccer, this analysis provides valuable insights into team formations, player positioning, and tactical strategies employed during matches. Therefore,

the analysis of player movements and tactical formations has been greatly aided by mathematical models, which have given coaches important information about game strategies. These models have been particularly influential in sports that demand precise technique, such as acrobatic gymnastics, focused on balance evaluation, in which the force plate and the center of pressure are the most used instrument and variable, respectively. Research on kinetics evaluation [6] and diving biomechanical parameters in professional divers [7] has been utilized to refine skill execution and reduce injury risk. Biomechanical modeling is a practical tool for predicting injury risk and optimizing strategies to prevent muscle repetitive stress injuries during motor skill learning and training. Biomechanical models have significantly improved performance in endurance sports like cycling and swimming by optimizing aerodynamics and hydrodynamics [8; 9].

The integration of mathematical and biomechanical models has led to a multidisciplinary approach that utilizes the strengths of both fields. The applications of computational fluid dynamics CFD simulations are used to predict aerodynamic characteristics of multi-body systems during ski jumping flight phases, enhancing efficiency and predicting lateral environmental wind and asymmetric postures [10]. Furthermore, the wearable technology with biomechanical sensors provides real-time feedback, enabling precise intervention adjustments in training and competition settings, thereby enhancing athletic performance in sports physiotherapy [11]. Performance analysis, injury prevention, and training optimization in a variety of sports disciplines have been completely transformed by the incorporation of mathematical and biomechanical models into sports science. These models have become indispensable tools, offering deep insights into the complex interplay of physical, physiological, and mechanical factors that define elite athleticism. This article aims to provide a comprehensive review of the application of such models across various sports disciplines, highlighting their impact on performance enhancement, injury prevention, and training methodologies. This review will explore also the different applications of mathematical and biomechanical models in sports, based on a range of studies published in these fields. We will examine how these models have been applied in different sports disciplines, discuss their effectiveness and limitations, and provide a perspective on future directions in sports analytics and coaching. By synthesizing the latest research findings, this article seeks to illuminate the transformative potential of interdisciplinary models in sports science and offer a valuable resource for stakeholders committed to pushing the boundaries of athletic performance.

## **2. Materials & Methods**

Numerous studies have been conducted on the use of mathematical and biomechanical models in several sports disciplines, which have yielded significant insights into athlete performance and injury prevention. By analyzing the approaches used in various sports, this review article seeks to summarize the present state of knowledge in this interdisciplinary topic. A thorough summary of the literature search, inclusion criteria, data analysis, and modeling strategies applied in the reviewed research is given in this section.

## 2.1 Literature search and selection criteria

A systematic search was conducted using databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and IEEE Xplore to identify relevant articles published between January 2012 and December 2024. Keywords related to "mathematical models," "biomechanical models," "sports performance," "sports injury," and specific sports disciplines were used. The search was limited to the articles written in English. The inclusion criteria were defined to select studies that (i) applied mathematical or biomechanical models, (ii) were specifically related to sports performance or injury prevention, and (iii) provided quantitative outcomes. Reviews, conference abstracts, and studies lacking empirical data were excluded.

## 2.2 Data extraction and analysis

All publications were assessed for eligibility based on their titles and abstracts. After assessment, full-text articles were taken into consideration for ultimate inclusion, and any disagreements were resolved by consensus. Data extracted included model type, sport discipline, primary outcome measures, and principal conclusions from each study. A qualitative synthesis was carried out to categorize the studies according to sports discipline and model type (e.g., kinetic, fluid dynamics, optimization models).

## 2.3 Mathematical and biomechanical modeling techniques

The studies included in this review utilized a range of mathematical and biomechanical modeling techniques, which were categorized as follows:

- 1. Kinematic Models:** These models focused on the motion of athletes without considering the forces that cause motion. Kinematic analysis was employed in studies like those by [12] and [13; 14] to understand the movement patterns in basketball and soccer, respectively.
- 2. Kinetic Models:** Force analysis is incorporated into kinetic models to examine how various body segments interact when moving. For instance, kinetic modeling was used to study the biomechanics of sprinting in track and field athletes [15; 16].
- 3. Fluid Dynamics Models:** Fluid dynamics is essential to perform in sports like cycling and swimming. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) was used in studies by [17] and [18] to optimize bicycle aerodynamics and swimwear design [19].
- 4. Optimization Models:** The optimal methods or approaches for improving performance or lowering the risk of damage are identified using optimization models [20; 21] offered an optimization model for increasing rowing stroke efficiency.
- 5. Statistical and Machine Learning Models:** Predicting performance results and injury risks has been done using sophisticated statistical methods and machine learning algorithms [22; 23; 24] predicted professional rugby players' risk of injury using machine learning.

### 3. Results

The integration of mathematical and biomechanical models in the analysis and enhancement of athletic performance has become an essential tool in many sport disciplines, for the improvement of athletic performance. This review synthesizes the findings from a multitude of studies that have employed these models to optimize training, prevent injuries, and improve competitive strategies. In the realm of track and field, the application of biomechanical models has been pivotal in understanding the mechanics of running and jumping events. A study used a mathematical model to predict human performance limits in 100m and 200m sprints, finding a significant correlation between performance and time, suggesting physiological constraints may limit theoretical maximum speed [25], suggesting a biomechanical perspective as a useful exercise. Another study suggests that runner's speed is determined by their strides, with higher speeds achieved when athletes apply more force on the ground in shorter time. Top athletes' speed is influenced by the force they can apply on the ground, with predictions ranging from 35 km/h to 50 km/h, [26]. Furthermore, biomechanical analyses have refined techniques in the long jump, with demonstrating that the optimization of the run-up phase significantly contributes to longer jump distances.

Mathematical models combined with biomechanical ones are being utilized in team sports like soccer and basketball to analyze player dynamics and improve team strategies. Comprehensive qualitative and quantitative analysis of team formations in professional football has been inhibited by the difficulty of obtaining access to large samples of player tracking data. Dynamic measurement and classification of formations in professional football enable strategic summaries of matches, communicating defensive and offensive configurations and detecting major tactical changes. A simulation using a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model successfully predicted future player positions with 85% accuracy, based on player trajectories and ball movement, and achieved 90% detection accuracy, demonstrating its effectiveness in predicting tactical movements [27]. This review explores also the use of spatial-temporal match analysis, a mathematical method that quantifies player positioning and movement patterns, aiding coaches in creating more effective game plans. Basketball analytics is shifting from box-score metrics to infer team and player performance [14], using spatial-temporal data, incorporating structured hierarchical models and deep learning approaches [28]. Additionally, biomechanical models have been instrumental in injury prevention. In recent years, computer simulations have been developed and are being used to explore injury risk factors and mechanisms. A biomechanical model was developed to estimate ACL forces during landing and assess its injury risk during sidestep cutting maneuvers, offering insights into training interventions to mitigate such risks [29].

In cycling, the application of biomechanical principles has led to advancements in bike fitting and the optimization of aerodynamic positioning. Dynamic methods like pressure mapping can optimize bicycle configurations for specific cyclists' needs and riding intensities, while investigating intrinsic factors like training load and flexibility can also influence optimal performance [30]. The use of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to simulate airflow around



cyclists in various postures has highlighted the importance of rider position in reducing drag and improving performance. A recent study emphasizes the significance of selecting appropriate computational parameters in CFD simulations for accurate drag predictions, recommending guidelines for reliable simulations, and prioritizing grid-sensitivity analyses [31]. Similarly, another study introduces the inverse-inverse dynamics method for predicting human movement, specifically cycling motions. It uses a musculoskeletal model in the Any Body Modeling System to optimize performance by varying parameterized movements [32].

The reliability of 3D motion analysis systems and biomechanical models was evaluated through simple mechanical tests, demonstrating system accuracy and reliability across different labs and equipment configurations [33]. Swimming is another area where biomechanical models have made a significant impact. Researchers use three-dimensional motion analysis to evaluate stroke mechanics, highlighting technique and propulsive efficiency, highlighting the importance of optimizing swim stroke parameters for individual athletes. The modified 3D kinematic analysis protocol for swimming was evaluated in a laboratory setting, comparing it to a standard stereophotogrammetric system. The protocol showed adequate accuracy for swimming styles and joint degrees of freedom, making it crucial for sports conditioning and clinical contexts [34]. Wearable technology, incorporating mathematical algorithms, revolutionize sports biomechanical data collection and analysis, enhancing skill acquisition and reducing injury risk through real-time monitoring of movement patterns. The integration of biomechanics, motor development, and wearable technology is revolutionizing human movement, enabling continuous monitoring of motor abilities, allowing for personalized interventions, optimizing technique, preventing injuries, maintaining mobility, enhancing health, performance, and quality of life [35].

Numerous insights into performance optimization and injury prevention have been gained using mathematical and biomechanical models in sports disciplines. Innovative training methods, improvements in equipment design, and improved competitive strategies have all resulted from this research's interdisciplinary nature. These models have the potential to significantly alter the field of sports performance and injury prevention as technology develops. This research's multidisciplinary approach has produced cutting-edge training techniques, improved equipment design, and improved competitive strategies.

#### **4. Discussion**

Sports training, competition, and recovery have all been transformed using mathematical and biomechanical models. With the help of numerous studies that have been published in other reliable sources, this review article has integrated the application of these models across different sports disciplines. Incorporating these models not only improves performance but is also essential for injury prevention and recovery. One of the primary areas where mathematical models have been applied is in optimization of athletic performance. A study has used a mathematical model to predict finishing times for different pacing strategies in endurance sports like cycling and long-

distance running, identifying the optimal strategy for fastest finishing time [36]. Different models use physiological parameters like VO<sub>2</sub> max, lactate threshold, and running economy to simulate race scenarios, determining efficient pacing strategies and improving performance outcomes. Another study reveals a small to moderate relationship between running economy and VO<sub>2</sub> max in highly trained distance runners, with over 85% of variance unaccounted for [37]. Maximal oxygen consumption and running economy predict running performance, accounting for 85%-94% variability, and prolonged running increases oxygen cost, affecting running performance [38]. Biomechanical models have significantly improved techniques in sports like swimming and track and field by accurately measuring forces, torques, and joint angles during critical movement phases. Sports biomechanics uses mechanics and physics to improve human performance, reduce injuries, and enhance understanding. It aids coaches in improving athletes' performance, providing virtual feedback, error identification, and energy efficiency [39].

The integration of motion capture technology and advanced computational techniques has significantly enhanced the efficiency and effectiveness of coaching and athletics. This article explores motion capture technology in sports science investigations, highlighting its advancements in biomechanical analysis, wearable sensor-based technology, computer vision-based technology, and multimodal technology for complex scenarios [40]. Mathematical models are utilized in team sports like soccer and basketball to analyze strategies and optimize player positioning. These models frequently simulate game scenarios using player tracking data, offering insights into the likelihood of certain outcomes and assisting in the creation of game strategies that optimize a team's strengths while taking advantage of their opponents' weaknesses. Mathematical modelling and simulation are vital in sport and human movement science, enabling tactical analysis, optimization strategies, understanding neuro-muscular-skeletal system complexity, and motor learning and control [41]. Biomechanics educators utilize game-based instructional activities, conducting research on effectiveness and limitations, benefiting both the field and professional practice through advancements in sports biomechanics instruction [42]. Machine learning offers a modern statistical method that uses algorithms mainly created to deal with unbalanced data sets and enable the modeling of interactions between many variables. In the football context, machine learning has been used in injury prediction, physical performance prediction, training load and monitoring, players' career trajectories, clubs' performance, and match attendance [43]. In sports like aerobic gymnastics, the gymnasts frequently experience impact landings during dismounting performances and biomechanical modeling has been used to understand the mechanisms behind common injuries and to design training regimens that reduce the likelihood of occurrence. Therefore, enhancing scientific understanding of inherent and regulatory mechanisms is necessary for scoring changes and developing injury prevention interventions [44].

Injury prevention is another critical area where biomechanical models have made a significant impact. Researchers have developed models to predict injury risk in athletes by analyzing stresses and strains during training and competition, guiding the design of interventions to mitigate this risk. These models also play an important role in rehabilitation. By modeling the healing process and forecasting recovery durations, mathematical and biomechanical models can direct the development of customized rehabilitation procedures. By reducing the chance of re-injury, this

individualized strategy helps athletes return to their sport in a safe and effective manner. Motion and posture analyses are rapidly developing in rehabilitation and sport biomechanics, aiming to standardize measurements, diagnose musculoskeletal pathologies, and monitor patient improvement [45]. The results of a study have showed that biomechanical modeling is a practical tool for predicting injury risk and provides an effective way to establish an optimization strategy to counteract the factors leading to muscle repetitive stress injuries during motor skill learning and training [46]. The use of mathematical and biomechanical models in sports has advanced significantly, although there are still obstacles and difficulties. It might be challenging to create models that are universally applicable due to the intricacy of human movement and individual heterogeneity. Furthermore, only well-funded teams and organizations may be able to use these technologies due to the requirement for complex equipment and substantial data collection. Numerical integration procedures are effective for calculating net velocity and displacement parameters in athletic jumping performance analysis, but some other models using the assessment of center of gravity shifts can cause errors. New technologies offer cost reduction and portability, improving ecological validity. However, increased availability raises the potential for unsuitable use. Independent testing is needed to establish method validity and reliability, ensure accuracy and consistency, and establish guidelines for obtaining reliable sensor information [47]. Mathematical and biomechanical models are increasingly utilized in sports for performance enhancement, injury prevention, and rehabilitation, providing valuable insights into the field. This review's research highlights the transformative potential of these models in various sports disciplines.

In addition, the highlighted mechanism of the system dynamics model is useful for policymakers and practitioners in understanding the roles and relationships between grassroots sports participation, elite sports role modeling, and mega sports in sports-based holistic development [48]. As technology advances, these models will become ever more widely used in sports as technology develops and our comprehension of the underlying ideas grows, which will help athletes at all levels of competition. Future studies should concentrate on resolving existing issues and broadening these models' applicability and accessibility to a larger group of people in the sports industry.

## **Conclusion**

The integration of mathematical and biomechanical models in sports has become increasingly prevalent, offering valuable insights into athletic performance and injury prevention. These models provide a quantitative approach to understanding the complex interactions between athletes and their environment, including movement dynamics, equipment impact, and training strategies. Mathematical and biomechanical models have become indispensable tools in modern sports science, for enhancing performance, preventing injuries, optimizing training strategies, offering objective and detailed analyses for sports analysis and rehabilitation. These models allow coaches to predict outcomes, quantify performance metrics, and tailor individualized training regimens. They are particularly useful in technical sports like swimming and track and field. They enable coaches to predict outcomes, quantify performance metrics, and customize training regimens.



They're useful in technical sports like swimming and track and field. These models have led to measurable improvements in athletic performance and contributed to the development of more effective training programs. Biomechanical models provide insights into injury mechanisms and rehabilitation protocols, helping develop strategies to minimize the risk of ACL injuries. The review emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between sports scientists, biomechanists, and mathematicians, and the potential for future advancements, including the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning. Wearable technology and real-time feedback systems monitor performance, but integration is challenging. The review reveals a diverse array of models being applied in sports, ranging from simple regression analyses to complex computational simulations. Mathematical and biomechanical models have become crucial tools in sports science for enhancing performance, preventing injuries, and optimizing training strategies. As technology advances, these models will become ever more widely used in sports as technology develops and our comprehension of the underlying ideas grows, which will help athletes at all levels of competition. While the potential benefits are substantial, future research should focus on addressing the current limitations and enhancing the predictive capabilities of these models. Continued innovation in this field promises to further elevate the performance and well-being of athletes across all levels of sport. Future studies should concentrate on resolving existing issues and broadening these models' applicability and accessibility to a larger group of people in the sports industry.

### Limitations of the study

Mathematical and biomechanical models have significantly improved our understanding of sports performance, but their application across different sports disciplines has limitations. Firstly, human movement complexity often simplifies models, compromising fidelity in real-world sporting scenarios, making accurate capture of athlete performance's variability and adaptability challenging within current modeling techniques. Secondly, biomechanical models may not accurately represent skill execution in dynamic sports like soccer or basketball due to their assumption of perfect skill execution under competitive pressure. Lastly, biomechanical models require precise measurements of forces, joint angles, and moments, despite advancements in wearable technology and high-speed cameras, despite persistent challenges.

### References

- [1] Mathieu E, Cremoux S, Duvivier D, Amarantini D, Pudlo P. (2023). Biomechanical modeling for the estimation of muscle forces: toward a common language in biomechanics, medical engineering, and neurosciences. *Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation*, 20(1), 1-11. DOI:[10.1186/s12984-023-01253-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-023-01253-1)
- [2] Krzywanski J, Sosnowski M, Grabowska K, Zylka A, Lasek L, Kijo-Kleckowska A. (2024). Advanced Computational Methods for Modeling, Prediction and Optimization - A Review. *Materials*, 17(14), 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17143521>

- [3] Wei Sh. (2024). Deep Learning Algorithms for Sports Data Analysis and Prediction in Sports Competitions. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 9(1), 1-14. DOI:[10.2478/amns-2024-0013](https://doi.org/10.2478/amns-2024-0013)
- [4] Moir G. (2015). Biomechanics of Fundamental Movements: Sprint running. Strength and Conditioning. A Biomechanical Approach. Chapter: 13. Publisher: Jones & Bartlett Learning, 528-530.
- [5] Bendo A, Papa E. (2024). The importance of biomechanical analysis in performance indicators in the game of basketball. Proceedings Book of the 1<sup>st</sup> international Canadian Scientific Research Congress, Toronto, Canada, 589-593.
- [6] Leite I, Fonseca P, Ávila-Carvalho L, Vilas-Boas JP, Goethel M, Mochizuki L, Conceição F. (2023). Biomechanical Research Methods Used in Acrobatic Gymnastics: A Systematic Review. *Biomechanics*, 3(1), 52-68. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomechanics3010005>
- [7] Wojtków M, Nikodem A. (2017). Biomechanics of diving: The influence of swimming speed on the kinematics of lower limbs of professional divers. *Acta of bioengineering and biomechanics*, 19(4): 1-18. DOI:[10.5277/ABB-00998-2017-01](https://doi.org/10.5277/ABB-00998-2017-01)
- [8] Turpin NA, Watier B. (2020). Cycling Biomechanics and Its Relationship to Performance. *Applied Sciences*, 10(12), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10124112>
- [9] Vilas-Boas JP, Sanders R. (2023). Swimming biomechanics: an editorial. *Sports Biomechanics*, 22(12), 1529–1531. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2022.2098640>
- [10] Hu Q, Tang W, Liu Y. (2024). Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation Study on Aerodynamic Characteristics under Unfavorable Conditions during Flight Phase in Ski Jumping. *Applied Sciences*, 14(4), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14041390>
- [11] Alzahrani A, Ullah A. (2024). Advanced biomechanical analytics: Wearable technologies for precision health monitoring in sports performance. *Digital Health*, 10, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076241256745>
- [12] Oliva-Lozano JM, Maraver EF, Fortes V, Muyor JM. (2020). Kinematic Analysis of the Postural Demands in Professional Soccer Match Play Using Inertial Measurement Units. *Sensors*, 20(21), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20215971>
- [13] Caseiro A, França C, Faro A, Branquinho Gomes B. (2023). Kinematic analysis of the basketball jump shot with increasing shooting distance: comparison between experienced and non-experienced players. *Acta of bioengineering and biomechanics*, 25(2), 61–67.
- [14] Bendo A, Papa E. (2024). Some biomechanical aspects of analysing performance and its indicators in basketball game”. British Congress London, UK *Proceedings Book Interdisciplinary Scientific Research & Practices*, 486-489.
- [15] Bezodis NE, Willwacher S, Salo AIT. (2019). The Biomechanics of the Track and Field Sprint Start: A Narrative Review. *Sports medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)*, 49(9), 1345–1364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01138-1>
- [16] Li Z, Peng Y, Li Q. (2024). Comparative Study of the Sprint Start Biomechanics of Men’s 100 m Athletes of Different Levels. *Applied Sciences*, 14(10), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14104083>
- [17] Marinho DA, Reis VM, Alves FB, Vilas-Boas JP, Machado L, Rouboa AI, António J, Silva AJ. (2017). The use of Computational Fluid Dynamics in swimming research. *International Journal for Computational Vision and Biomechanics*, 3(1), 13-16. <https://www.mukpublications.com/resources/ijcvbvol.3no1/ijcvb3-1-2.pdf>
- [18] Blocken B, Defraeye Th, Erwin Koninckx E, Carmeliet J, Hespel P. (2013). CFD simulations of the aerodynamic drag of two drafting cyclists. *Computers & Fluids*, 71, 435-445. [doi: 10.1016/j.compfluid.2012.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2012.11.012)

- [19] Nazemi S, Khajavi R, Bagherzadeh R. (2022). CFD and experimental studies on drag force of swimsuit fabric coated by silica nano particles. *Journal of the Textile Institute*, 114(1), 43-54. DOI:[10.1080/00405000.2021.2020418](https://doi.org/10.1080/00405000.2021.2020418)
- [20] Huo X. (2024). Optimizing physical education movements through biomechanical analysis: A new approach to reducing the risk of sports injuries. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 21(4), 1-16. DOI:[10.62617/mcb502](https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb502)
- [21] Nieuwburg WCAM, Spreuwel BJJ, Tran MTK, Yang MD, Greidanus A, Mulder G, Tummers MJ, Westerweel J, Suijker W, Wijk R. (2023). Improving rowing performance by adjusting oar blade size and angle. *Front. Sports Act. Living*, 5, 01-11. doi: [10.3389/fspor.2023.1109494](https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1109494)
- [22] Leckey C, Van Dyk N, Doherty C. et.al. (2024). Machine learning approaches injury risk prediction in sport: a scoping review with evidence synthesis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 1-10. doi: [10.1136/bjsports-2024-108576](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2024-108576)
- [23] Van Eetvelde H, Mendonça LD, Ley C. et al. (2021). Machine learning methods in sport injury prediction and prevention: a systematic review. *J Exp Ortop*, 8 (27), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-021-00346-x>
- [24] Todd Ch, Palczewska A, Weaving D. (2024). Injury Risk Prediction in Rugby League Players with Training Volume Data and Machine Learning. *Advances in Computational Intelligence Systems*, 192-203. DOI:[10.1007/978-3-031-55568-8\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55568-8_16)
- [25] Hidayah N, Tafqur M, Rosdiana F. (2024). Prediction of The Optimal Performance of Running Athletes. *Journal on Education*, 6(2), 14320-14328. <http://jonedu.org/index.php/joe>
- [26] Krishnamoorthy V. (2023). Predicting the limits of human athletic performance. *Journal of High School Science*, 7(3), 1-12. <https://jhss.scholasticahq.com/article/84776-predicting-the-limits-of-human-athletic-performance>
- [27] Wang J. (2024). Analysing Players' Tactical Positions and Movement Trajectories in Soccer Matches Using Machine Vision Algorithms. *Journal of Electrical Systems*, 20(6), 2113-2123. DOI:[10.52783/jes.3126](https://doi.org/10.52783/jes.3126)
- [28] Turner Z, Franks A. (2021). Modelling Player and Team Performance in Basketball. *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application*, 8(1), 1-23. DOI:[10.1146/annurev-statistics-040720-015536](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-statistics-040720-015536)
- [29] Weinhandl J, Boehm J, Ebersole K, Huddleston W, Armstrong B, Connor K. (2013). Anticipatory effects on anterior cruciate ligament loading during sidestep cutting. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 28(6), 655-663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.06.001>
- [30] Swart J, Holliday W. (2019). Cycling Biomechanics Optimization-the (R) Evolution of Bicycle Fitting. *Current sports medicine reports*, 18(12), 490-496. <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000665>
- [31] Van Druenen Th, Blocken B. (2024). CFD simulations of cyclist aerodynamics: Impact of computational parameters. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 249, 105714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2024.105714>
- [32] Farahani S, Bertucci W, Andersen M, de Zee M, Rasmussen J. (2015). Prediction of crank torque and pedal angle profiles during pedalling movements by biomechanical optimization. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, 51(1), 251-266. DOI:[10.1007/s00158-014-1135-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-014-1135-6)
- [33] Miller E, Kaufman K, Kingsbury T, Wolf E, Wilken J, Wyatt M. (2016). Mechanical testing for three-dimensional motion analysis reliability. *Gait & posture*, 50, 116-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.08.017>
- [34] Magalhães F, Giovanardi A, Di Michele R, Cortesi M, Gatta G, Fantozzi S. (2014). Swimming motion analysis: 3D joints kinematics of the upper limb using wearable inertial and magnetic sensors. *Conference: 3D Analysis of Human Movement*, 80-183. DOI:[10.13140/2.1.2302.6248](https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2302.6248)

- [35] Morouço P. (2024). Wearable Technology and Its Influence on Motor Development and Biomechanical Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 21(9), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21091126>
- [36] Underwood L, Jermy M. (2014). Determining optimal pacing strategy for the track cycling individual pursuit event with a fixed energy mathematical model. *Sports Engineering*, 17, 183-196. DOI:[10.1007/s12283-014-0153-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12283-014-0153-3)
- [37] Shaw AJ, Ingham SA, Atkinson G, Folland JP. (2015).The correlation between running economy and maximal oxygen uptake: cross-sectional and longitudinal relationships in highly trained distance runners. *PloS one*, 10(4), e0123101. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123101>
- [38] Unhjem R. (2024). Changes in running economy and attainable maximal oxygen consumption in response to prolonged running: The impact of training status. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*, 34(5), e14637. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.14637>
- [39] Parmar D, Rathore Sh. (2017). Biomechanical and technological knowledge: Integral part of sports science. *International Journal of Physiology, Nutrition and Physical Education*, 2(2), 976-979.
- [40] Suo X, Tang W, Li Z. Motion Capture Technology in Sports Scenarios: A Survey. *Sensors*. 2024; 24(9): 2947. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s24092947>
- [41] Baca A. Mathematical modelling in sport and human movement science. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*. 2017; 23(4): 361–362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13873954.2017.1336636>
- [42] Keogh JWL, Moro C, Knudson D. (2021). Promoting learning of biomechanical concepts with game-based activities. *Sports Biomechanics*, 23(3), 253–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2020.1845470>
- [43] Martins F, Przednowek K, França C, Lopes H, de Maio Nascimento M, Sarmento H, Marques A, Ihle A, Henriques R, Gouveia ÉR. (2022). Predictive Modelling of Injury Risk Based on Body Composition and Selected Physical Fitness Tests for Elite Football Players. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 11(16), 4923. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11164923>
- [44] Gittoes MJ, Irwin G. (2012). Biomechanical approaches to understanding the potentially injurious demands of gymnastic-style impact landings. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil*, 4(4), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2555-4-4>
- [45] Roggio F, Ravalli S, Maugeri G, Bianco A, Palma A, Di Rosa M, Musumeci G. (2021). Technological advancements in the analysis of human motion and posture management through digital devices. *World journal of orthopedics*, 12(7), 467–484. <https://doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v12.i7.467>
- [46] Wan B, Shan,G. (2016). Biomechanical modelling as a practical tool for predicting injury risk related to repetitive muscle lengthening during learning and training of human complex motor skills. *Springer Plus*, 5(1), 1-13. DOI:[10.1186/s40064-016-2067-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2067-y)
- [47] Hughes GTG, Camomilla V, Vanwanseele B, Harrison AJ, Fong DTP, Bradshaw EJ. (2021). Novel technology in sports biomechanics: some words of caution. *Sports Biomechanics*, 23(4), 393–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2020.1869453>
- [48] Lee SP. (2022). The Sports-Based Holistic Development Model: The General Public's Transformation by Having a Meaningful Story Through Sport. *Sage Open*, 12(4), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221129164>